

# The British Empire and its Social Implosion



**Richard Lawrence**

**Edition 4**

## Introduction

In the history of the world there have been many empires and each one has risen and fallen over a period of time. Whilst this book covers a brief outline of the history of Britain's Empire, it dwells more on the financial and social decline in Britain during the period from the 1950s to 2020.

My research was arduous to state the least and many readers may find it difficult to accept my analysis of the British Empire as it came to an end in 1997, with the handing back of Hong Kong to China. I have examined British society with a very sharp knife and I think it is a fair dissection. It should stand as a catalyst for further investigation, dialogue and even change.

I have sought to condense the text as much as possible, lest it become too heavy for the reader – not a simple task.

In a very few instances within this article, I have used text covering historical and financial facts (in full and in part) from the internet.

### **This Book is for Charity**

This book has been written for charity and if you find it interesting, please consider a small donation to my charity of choice, ['The Sick Kids Friends Foundation'](#) who support the Royal Hospital for Sick Children (RHSC) in Edinburgh which was the first children's hospital in Scotland. It was built on its present site in Sciennes Road, Edinburgh in 1895 and opened by HRH Princess Beatrice of York, the granddaughter of Queen Victoria.

The current patron is HRH Princess Beatrice of York, granddaughter of Queen Elizabeth II. Every year over 100,000 children pass through the doors of the hospital, ranging in age from just a few hours old to aged 16 in some specialities. The majority of the patients come from Edinburgh, the Lothians and Fife, but the hospital treats children from all over Scotland.

### **Acknowledgment**

My sincere thanks to Roy Harrower who assisted me with proof reading, continuity and suggested editorial corrections.

### **Copyright Conditions**

This article is in .pdf file format and is free for worldwide distribution by electronic means or hard copy download on the condition the copyright is maintained, whereby the article or any part of it cannot be used for commercial use without the owner's permission.

Richard Lawrence has asserted his right to be identified as the 'Author of this Work' in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988. First electronically published on the website: [www.lawrencephotographic.com](http://www.lawrencephotographic.com) on the 30th June 2020.

## Contents

<a href="#"><u>The 7 Stages of Empire</u></a>	Page 2
<a href="#"><u>The British Empire – 1583 – 1997</u></a>	Page 3
<a href="#"><u>British Social Decline &amp; Neoliberalism – 1950s to 2020</u></a>	Page 4
<a href="#"><u>British Social Decadence – 1950s to 2020</u></a>	Page 32
<a href="#"><u>The End Game for Britain</u></a>	Page 39
<a href="#"><u>The World Superpowers</u></a>	Page 43

### **The 7 Stages of Empire**

Lieutenant-General Sir John Bagot Glubb, KCB, CMG, DSO, OBE, MC, KStJ, KPM, was a British soldier, scholar and author, who served during the First World War, in France. He was also a military historian and he concluded that each empire followed a similar pattern of 7 stages over a period of ten generations. He passed away on the 17<sup>th</sup> March 1986 at the age of 88 years.

The 7 Stages of Empire –

1. The Age of Pioneers
2. The Age of Conquests
3. The Age of Commerce
4. The Age of Affluence
5. The Age of Intellect
6. The Age of Decadence
7. The Age of Decline & Collapse

Sir John Bagot Glubb's theory on the 7 Stages of Empire can be read in his book 'The Fate of Empires and Search for Survival'.

A simple definition of an empire is a country which is a sovereign state, and one that controls a collective of other countries (known as affiliates or colonies) and territories.

There have been so many empires and I will list some –

1. Assyrian Empire of Mesopotamia (2500 BC–609 BC)
2. Achaemenid 'Persian' Empire (550 BC–330 BC)
3. Greek Empire (323 BC-146 AD)
4. Roman & Byzantine Empire (27 BC–AD 476/1453)
5. Umayyad 'Four Islamic' Caliphate (661–750)
6. Mongol Empire (1206–1368)

7. Ottoman 'Turkish Islamic' Empire (1299–1923)
8. Mughal 'Indian' Empire (1526–1720)
9. Russian Empire & Russian Soviet Union (1721–1991)
10. Qing/Han 'Chinese' Dynasty (1890–1912)
11. The British Empire (1583-1997)

The Mongol Empire held the title of holding the greatest land mass in the history of the world until it was superseded by the British Empire.

## **The British Empire – 1583 to 1997**

The British Monarch at the beginning of the British Empire in 1583 was Elizabeth I (7th September 1533 – 24th March 1603) she was the Queen of England and Ireland from 17th November 1558 until her death on the 24th March 1603. Elizabeth was the last of the five monarchs of the House of Tudor. In a strange irony, it is another female, Queen Elizabeth II (21<sup>st</sup> April 1926 – current) who was the monarch at the (recognised) end of the British Empire in 1997.

The British Empire was built on the back of its pioneers, carried on ships by sea, to explore and discover new continents and cultures. Its Royal Navy and warships were its main power base which carried the military, and through war and conquest, it led to the occupation of countries, the creation of colonies (affiliates) and lest I forget, the requirement for cheap labour. Slaves were the work engine that drove the 'British Imperial' expansion. The British Empire controlled its provinces through sheer military might and its long-range sea ability to quell any rebellion. Not that different from the Roman Empire, although it was not brought down by war in the true sense, but nevertheless, war did play a part. From 1583 and with only a small pause, it enjoyed world expansion, and by 1913, it held control over 412 million people, 23% of the world population (at the time) and by 1920, it covered 35,500,000 square kms, 24% of the Earth's total land area. As a result, its political, legal, linguistic, and cultural legacy is widespread. At the peak of its power, the phrase "the empire on which the sun never sets" was often used to describe the British Empire, because its expanse around the globe meant that the sun was always shining on at least one of its territories.

The British Empire held Canada, Australia, New Zealand, Tonga, Fiji, Western Samoa, India, Burma, Papua New Guinea, Malaya, Sarawak, Brunei, Oman, Iraq, Egypt, Libya, Sudan, Kenya, Uganda, Northern and Southern Rhodesia, Tanganyika, Zanzibar, Mauritius, the Maldives, South Africa, Swaziland, Nigeria, Gold Coast, Sierra Leone and it also held a portion of the present day United States and China.

Banking and insurance became the financial backbone with the Bank of England which was founded in 1694 and Lloyd's of London which was founded in 1686. Both were and still are, based in London. In 1694, The 'Bank of England' issued paper money (Sterling) which was tied to the British gold reserves. This meant that domestic and global transactions were made easier. Sterling circulated throughout the British Empire and even used alongside local currencies. It became the world's reserve currency and was used in 60% of world trade transactions as well as the preferred 'main' currency in some countries.

The Industrial Revolution in Great Britain was the icing on the cake. This massive structural change from an agriculturally based economy to a manufacturing industry was almost complete by 1760 and which placed Britain well ahead of its global competitors. It saw the rise of hand production to machines, chemical manufacturing, iron processing, steam and water power, machine tools and the rise of the mechanised factory system. Great Britain manufactured textiles and exported them all over the world and by the middle of the 1700s, Britain was the leading commercial nation and controlling a global empire with colonies in North America, the Caribbean and a massive military and political presence in India and Bengal. In Britain the exponential growth generated a massive expansion of population and raised the average person's basic income.

The British Empire may have enjoyed the fruits from its colonies but it did contribute to their development by updating their infrastructure, especially in education, health and their transport systems. However, it could be argued that these improvements were not aimed to benefit the local peoples but to benefit Britain's trading in those territories. Even today, English is a dominant language throughout the world and is widely used as a second language.

### **British Social Decline & Neoliberalism – 1950s to 2020**

The end of an empire can be seen in the eyes of the world as its power and influence wanes. There is also an inevitable decline and social decadence and as its successive governments strive to save its economy and avoid civil disorder through lack of income to maintain the country's infrastructure. Invariable as a result, its politicians display a lack of knowledge in history, especially in the fall of previous empires. There is a decline in the wealth of its average citizen, the increase in the poverty of its peoples and an inability to maintain the standard of the country's education, health and security. In the end, the politicians make rash decisions which are compounded by their failing standards in morality and intelligence.

Eventually, as if ham strung by indecision, the politicians begin to adhere to the whims of a minority within society and even an individual, instead of leading by a disciplined example. This approach is usually driven and fed by the media for financial gain. It creates a chain reaction that permeates right through society, an implosion of sorts. Since 1980, Britain has embraced a neoliberal economy based on a globalist agenda with liberal attitudes and all three have had a profound impact on the future of the country – it was to be expected. Neoliberalism or neo-liberalism is the 20th-century resurgence of 19th-century ideas associated with laissez-faire economic liberalism and free market capitalism, and is generally associated with policies of economic liberalisation, including privatisation, deregulation, globalisation, free trade, austerity, and reductions in government spending in order to increase the role of the private sector in the economy and society. Neoliberalism constituted a paradigm shift away from the post-war Keynesian consensus that had lasted from 1945 to 1980.

The British Empire's decline is best summed up in very simple terms – it ran out of money to fund its ability to maintain its control over its colonies with its military might, along with its infrastructure and development at home. Education, health, emergency services and other government services all shrank through the lack of finance, and still shrink today. The financial impact of two World Wars

combined with the slackening of educational standards, government discipline and personal self-discipline, has led (through several decades) to a more liberal, decadent and weak society. Today it has reached rock bottom as British society, has embraced 'wokism' and the 'cancel culture' crowd name and shame anyone who does not meet with their agenda, even if it happened 30 years ago. In this new utopian society, there's no room for personal development. One misstep decades ago and you're tarred for life. Combine it with self-virtue signalling, which is magnified through social media, over the internet, over smartphones and you will (eventually) create a dystopian and insular British society.

The loss of income that the British Empire experienced after the 1<sup>st</sup> World War (1914-1918) was in part due to the gradual release of its colonies and the death throes commenced in 1945 after the 2<sup>nd</sup> World War (1939-1945) and up until 1997. The final nail in the coffin came when the American dollar replaced the British pound sterling as the world's premier reserve currency in 1945 and in accordance with the Bretton Woods agreements, formalising global acknowledgment of the U.S.'s status as the leading world superpower.

One by one, the British colonies and territories demanded independence and as the British will and discipline waned, successive British governments released their grip. Of course, if your navy, army and air force strengths can no longer be financially maintained at World War I levels, and you no longer rule the seas, then the loss of foreign territory is to be expected. As the British Empire broke up after World War I, the independent colonies joined together with Britain to form 'The British Commonwealth' and these countries all traded with each other. During World War II, most fought together with Britain against Nazi Germany. This combination of countries was originally created as 'The British Commonwealth of Nations' through the Balfour Declaration at the 1926 Imperial Conference, and formalised by the United Kingdom through the Statute of Westminster in 1931. The current 'Commonwealth of Nations' which consists of 54 countries, was formally constituted by the London Declaration in 1949, which modernised the community and established the member states as 'free and equal'.

In 1973 Britain joined the European Community and the Common Market under the Conservative government of Edward Heath. It was to facilitate free trade between a group of European countries and a common tariff on trade with other countries. There was a hidden agenda led by Brussels, Germany and France which was hidden from the British voters. What followed was the next step, the Referendum on the European Community (Common Market), the Common Market referendum and EEC membership referendum, which took place under the provisions of the Referendum Act 1975 on 5<sup>th</sup> June 1975 in the United Kingdom. Its aim was to gauge support for the country's continued membership. What Heath conveniently concealed from the British voters, was that the long-term European agenda was to merge Britain with Europe to form 'The European Union' with free border movement, free trade and the sovereignty of all the countries, including their courts removed to a ruling elite in Brussels; in other words, a United States of Europe, a Federal Republic with its own military force.

To make matters worse, the European and British politicians embraced the 'Coudenhove-Kalergi Plan' originally put forward in by Richard Nikolaus Eijiro, Count of Coudenhove-Kalergi in his book,

'Praktischer Idealismus' - his idea was to integrate the races of the world into a single race and he is thought to be the originator of the European Union idea. Kalergi proclaims the abolition of the right of self-determination and then the elimination of nations with the use of ethnic separatist movements and mass migration, in order for Europe to be controlled by an elite. The incitement to this form of genocide, is also the basis of the constant appeals of the United Nations, that demands we accept millions of immigrants to help with the low birth rates of the EU. According to a report published on January 2000 and based on their analysis of population division, the United Nations in New York, under the title 'Immigration Replacement': A solution to declining and aging population – by 2025, Europe will require 159,000,000 migrants.

On the 16<sup>th</sup> November 2012, the President of the European Council, Herman Van Rompuy, was awarded the Coudenhove-Kalergi Prize, during a special conference in Vienna, to celebrate the 90 years of the Pan-European movement. The prize is awarded every two years to leading personalities for their outstanding contribution to the process of European integration. A decisive factor that helped him win the prize was the balanced way in which President Van Rompuy executed his duties in the new position of President of the European Council, which was established by the Treaty of Lisbon. He handled this particularly sensitive leading and coordinating role with a spirit of determination and reconciliation, while emphasis was also given to his skilful arbitration on European affairs and unfailing commitment to European moral values.

During his speech, Mr Van Rompuy described the unification of Europe as a peace project. This idea, which was also the objective of the work of Coudenhove-Kalergi, after 90 years is still important. The award bears the name of Count Richard Nicolaus von Coudenhove-Kalergi (1894-1972), philosopher, diplomat, publisher and founder of the Pan-European Movement (1923). Coudenhove-Kalergi was the pioneer of European integration and popularized the idea of a Federal Europe with his work. Among the winners of the Coudenhove-Kalergi award, the Federal Chancellor of Germany, Angela Merkel (2010) and the President of Latvia Vaira Vike-Freiberga (2006), are included.

In Britain, there had always been conflicts between the working man and his employers, especially the large public and private corporations. When a country begins to financially decline the first casualty is the working man as his employer seeks to maintain his own standard of living, and especially those of his company shareholders. The worker's wages decline in real time as the standard of living increases and his employer fails to raise them in an effort to maintain the same profit from the business. During the 1970s, the conflicts between private as well as public corporations and the working man rose to such an extent that Britain was virtually bankrupted by workers' strikes. The 'Winter of Discontent' in 1978 saw dead bodies piled up in the mortuaries as gravediggers joined the strikes, and garbage with its rats filled the streets, and industry all but collapsed. The ruling elite placed the blame on the workers and the general public bought into it, especially when public utility companies went on strike and electricity was rationed.

The end result, Margaret Thatcher came into power and sold most of the public businesses into private ownership, the shareholders; the ruling elite. Britain had come full circle and publicly owned nationalised industries became a dirty word. What followed over the next 50 years – the wealthy ruling elite became richer, the working man poorer and the infrastructure, especially the

manufacturing industries of Britain, fell into sharp decline. The financial sector in London became the main breadwinners for the country with the rise of a neoliberal economy agenda which eventually morphed into the banks with their casino gambling investments. There was a time when, the average person in Britain, could only have a savings account or accounts in a single bank. There appeared to be a gentlemen's agreement between the banks that their deposits could not easily be moved to another bank. That all changed in the 1980s with massive privatisation and a free market. Thereafter, you could have savings accounts in many different banks in Britain.

Between 1951 and 1964, low housing prices and relatively low interest rates encouraged more people to think about taking out a mortgage. The Tory government actively encouraged this by reducing Stamp Duty and lending cheap money to banks and building societies so they could provide mortgages. Margaret Thatcher in the 1980s, encouraged the deregulation of the financial sector and the banks became the recognised 'go to place' for a house loan. They saw their market share rise well above the traditional building societies. By the 1990s, house prices became bloated and overvalued and to add grist to the mill, the banks commenced handing out 100% mortgages which further bloated the house valuations. Unfortunately, the demand for property beginning in the 1980s, led to successive increases in house prices. Since 1993, these prices have risen faster than both inflation and real wages, mainly thanks to the rise of unscrupulous estate agents, and making it difficult for some people to find affordable housing. Increase in demand was also affected by the easy availability of Buy-to-Let mortgages starting in 1996. A slump in demand meant falling house prices and many homeowners actually owing more money on their property than it was worth. This change in the property market also had an effect on the construction industry so that fewer houses were built. With the economic collapses during the 1990s and in particular in 2008, home owners found that their houses were overvalued, and unable to sell them to pay off the mortgage, they were forced to carry on paying back the interest or in many cases, the houses were repossessed by the banks.

Margaret Thatcher, the prime minister, held a belief in privatisation and a support for global free trade. She was driven by her belief in economic liberalism and the view that economic decisions are best made by individuals acting in a free market. She thought that like the Victorian era, Britain could rebuild itself, not through a Victorian industrial manufacturing revolution but through a privatised financial revolution – she was so wrong, and I cite Germany as a classic example of an industrial country whose powerbase and wealth is in manufacturing. Although Thatcher attempted to block more powers for the European Union as it dragged Britain towards a Federal infrastructure, she did not block the rise of neoliberal politics, and their global agenda. When she finally recognised the European Union's efforts to create a Federal 'United States of Europe' and fought against it, her own party ousted her as Prime Minister.

Margaret Thatcher, like the elite in Europe and Britain, was driven by an agenda that encouraged the avarice and mendacity of the politicians, businessmen, bankers and the private corporations. The commissionaires that represented the European Union in Brussels, became millionaires on the back of the EU whose members grew fat on the money it paid itself. Not only was she naive in those respects, she assisted in the dismantlement of manufacturing and mining, especially in Scotland, as she regarded them as being fundamentally uneconomical to maintain. In doing so, she totally



dismissed the impact on the communities of people, who relied on them for a living. Had she made the correct investment in new equipment, new technology and supported those businesses and people, they would be around today, along with their communities and traditions. Britain now requires those manufacturing businesses and jobs. The idea that there is a global free market is a myth and privatisation has made the elite richer and the poor, worse off.

The UK pays more into the EU budget than it gets back. In 2019 the UK government paid over £15 billion to the EU budget, and EU spending on the UK was forecast to be £5 billion. Therefore, the UK's 'net contribution' was estimated at nearly £10 billion. In 2018, UK exports to the EU were £291 billion (45% of all UK exports) and UK imports from the EU were £357 billion (53% of all UK imports). The conclusion has to be that Britain would show more profit, if it left the EU and turned its attention to exporting to other countries, rather than being constrained by the membership trading rules and restrictions of the European Union.

In the 1980s, the media of Europe and Britain were gradually being condensed into a few corporate outlets whose aim was to misinform the masses to suit a globalist and neoliberal political agenda. Over the following 40 years, successive British governments, which were riddled with neoliberals who supported the globalist European Federal agenda, and irrespective of their party politics, fought very hard to keep Britain in the European Union.

What (eventually) followed was Brexit: the withdrawal of Britain from the European Union (EU), following a British referendum in June 2016, in which 52% voted to leave and 48% voted to remain in the EU. The British government formally announced the country's withdrawal in March 2017, beginning the Brexit process. During the entire process, the neoliberal politicians in every British political party fought long and hard to block the referendum and to remain in the EU. Today, in 2020, that agenda to remain in the EU, is still being fought behind closed doors.

In 1695, the population of Britain was estimated to be 5.5 million. By 1801, the year of the first census, it was 9.3 million and by 1841, 15.9 million. This represents a 60% growth rate in just 40 years. Today, it is 67.89 million and growing at a phenomenal rate. Of course, it cannot go on and asking for more housing is not going to help the situation. If there was ever a catalyst for a race or civil war, overpopulation sure fits the bill, especially in a multi-cultural society. The government does not have a clue what to do and blindly lets landlords maintain a 'Ponzi Scheme' using rent income to place even more deposits for new mortgages for purchasing even more houses. So much for Thatcher's dream, that everyone should be able to afford their own home. The latest asset grab by the banks and the finance houses is to buy your house at a reduced rate and let you live in it until you die, it's called 'equity release'. Of course, they make the asset grab so they can bolster the value of their businesses on the books and a huge profit when they eventually sell the property. Perhaps the greatest folly by our government seeking new houses, is to let the wealthy elite own more than one house in Britain whilst their second and third 'holiday' homes push up the value of surrounding houses, whereby the local folks cannot afford to buy them.

The Japanese were the first to copy the technology of British vehicle and television manufacture and after improving it, they flooded the British market with it. They decimated all the British car and television manufacturing industries. The successive British governments even allowed the cheap

Japanese imports without sizeable tariffs; acts of plain stupidity. Today, the Japanese companies, like Toyota, Nissan, Honda, Suzuki, Yamaha and Samsung rule; and many have factories and distribution centres, in Britain. Huawei a Chinese company, is leading the world in 5G communications technology and China has part ownership of companies throughout the world that are developing artificial intelligence, especially in America. Of course, they are bringing all that technology back to China and creating their own 'Silicon Valley' – frightening stuff. So, when you hear Britain and America squawking about the Chinese stealing their technology; well, their business corporations helped the Japanese and then the Chinese, achieve it. America is now attempting to halt the rise of superior Chinese technology as it threatens the American development and ability to export their own (older) technology.

The influence and growth of the Chinese economy was aided and abetted by the U.S. and Britain to their own detriment for money and greed by their own corporations who exported their technology to China; U.S. and British companies could use Chinese workers to manufacture goods for them with lower overheads. Computers, textiles, toys, vacuum cleaners, televisions and motor vehicles - the overall list is enormous. Classic examples are IBM selling off their desktop computer and laptop technology to Lenovo, a Chinese corporation, the dismantling at Detroit of the car plants in America and reassembly of the manufacturing and assembly plants in China. The blind eye the British government played, thanks to a free privatised marketplace, facilitated the Chinese copying its textile manufacture and tailoring which decimated the British industry with cheap clothing imports. Most of the British manufacturers moved abroad to find cheap workforces, and especially in China.

In 2020, the Americans are attempting to stifle any Chinese technology, especially Huawei Technologies Ltd which has a major foothold in world communications. The U.S. narrative is that Huawei is a Chinese government business that threatens the security of world communications with hidden mechanisms in its code to gather up sensitive government and user information, especially in mobile phone technology. The irony is that American mobile technology and listening devices over the internet have been gathering sensitive user and government information for years, especially through mobile phones with 'Android' operating systems and 'Google' applications. The move by Britain to remove all of Huawei and abandon Huawei 5G mobile technology is a direct result of America threatening Britain with trade tariffs. Britain has implemented Huawei 3G and 4G mobile communications for the last 20 years but now that China threatens the economic growth of America, it has suddenly become 'persona non grata'. If Britain continues to follow the American lead, it's decline will accelerate.

Then there is Russia, and the western powers, America, Europe and Britain have heralded Russia as the 'bogeyman' of the world, although the Germans in Europe are starting to see it differently. When once the Russians were praised for their assistance in defeating the German Nazi regime in World War II, which cost the Russians 25 million lives, they are now treated appallingly. The Soviet Union, during the wars years was a ruthless regime and led by a madman called Stalin but Russia today, is far removed from that regime and by comparison, so is Germany far removed from Hitler and the Nazis. The American government is also attempting to stop the oil pipeline between Russia and Germany with economic sanctions, because Germany wants to import Russian oil and gas. The

reason, America wants to sell its own oil and gas to Germany. The very same thing is happening in the imports to Germany from China and the Americans are going 'nuts' over the loss of their exports.

There is a standoff in military power and in nuclear weapons between east and west. It is driven by American bases which are positioned all over Europe and Britain, to corral Russia, something the western NATO alliance promised the Russians, they would never do after World War II in 1945. America is doing the very same thing through American military bases in Japan and South Korea, as it attempts to corral China and North Korea. The American strategy is simple, squeeze these countries with sanctions until their pips squeak, and if they retaliate with war, well it will be Europe, Britain, South Korea and Japan that get the first strikes – a win, win, situation for America.

The Americans are doing the very same 'sanction' approach and regime changes with the South American countries because they are seen to be lurching from 'Capitalism' to 'Socialism' which is against the America perceived notion of democracy and a world ruled by them and their business corporations. The American elite fear the end of their corporate empires. What I find uncanny, is that during World War II, the Americans helped the Chinese war effort against Japan and even blew apart two Japanese cities with nuclear bombs. Today, the American government likes the Japanese and hates the Chinese.

Britain is a 'vassal state' of the United States of America (the U.S.) the leading world superpower. It blindly follows the U.S. lead in world affairs. The recent wars in Kosovo, Libya, Iraq and Afghanistan are living proof, where millions of those countries peoples have been killed as a result. Britain has allowed the U.S. to place military bases and nuclear weapons on its soil and like the other vassal countries in Europe, could be the place of a 'first' nuclear strike should a war break out between the U.S. and any of the other emerging superpowers. America has wisely set up buffer zones across the world where other countries will take the heat if there is ever a land war where the tanks and the planes fire low yield nuclear weapons. Britain is strategically positioned, right in the middle, separated from America by the Atlantic Ocean and as a blind follower of America war policy, it is a natural enemy and an excellent 'land base' for many of the other emerging world superpowers.

The decline of Britain and its vassal puppet alliance with the United States of America has seen Britain dragged into the ongoing conflict between Iran, Palestine and Yemen who are mainly populated by Shia Muslims vs Saudi Arabia who are Sunni Muslims, and Israel who are Jews. The weakness in British governments has seen them grovel to the U.S. whims and supply weapons to Saudi Arabia which uses them to slaughter the people of Yemen, and despite public protests, Britain still supplies them today. Britain, at one stage, controlled Palestine in 'The Levant' and it was the Jews, with the aid of the Americans that drove them out. The Americans supplied the Jews with weapons and encouraged their return to Jerusalem after World War II and in 1946 the Israelis (Jews) engaged in terrorist attacks against the British. Barrel bombs were used in Palestine by the militant Jewish people's groups (Zionists) in Palestine against the British.

The most serious Jewish terrorist attack was the King David Hotel bombing which was carried out on Monday, July 22nd, 1946, by the militant right-wing Jewish people's underground organization, the Irgun, on the British administrative headquarters for Palestine, which was housed in the southern wing of the King David Hotel in Jerusalem, during the Jewish insurgency in Mandatory Palestine.

Over ninety people of various nationalities were killed, and many others were injured. The British, who were no longer the dominant power in Palestine moved out to create a vacuum, which the Jews, with the aid of America filled. In 1948, the 'State of Israel', a Jewish state, was created in the Palestinian territory and America was the first country to acknowledge it as an independent sovereign country. Today, Israel has claimed more and more Palestinian territory and its long-term aim is to drive the Palestinians out of the Levant. Israel also has nuclear weapons, and soon Iran will have them as well. Thanks to Britain's weakness in blindly following the American lead, it is now a mortal enemy of Iran and its affiliates.

They say, charity begins at home. Imagine if you were someone, living on the poverty line in Britain, it would be unthinkable that you would hand over your meagre earnings to another guy in similar circumstances, when your kids are visiting the food banks for food. The British government is in debt to around £1.4 trillion and will reach £2 trillion by the end of 2020. So why, when Britain is facing bankruptcy, in debt up to its eyeballs, and the British kids are visiting the foodbanks, is the British Government borrowing and printing more money to hand over to 3<sup>rd</sup> world countries - when Britain is becoming one? Foreign aid makes no sense at all. It has long been argued that the British politicians should get Britain out of debt, promote wealth for our kids' welfare, and then, and only then, provide handouts to other countries to help them develop. Trident nuclear missile weapons that can reach anywhere on the planet, make perfect sense if you want to get cut back on your military to save money and provide a means to deter foreign invaders. However, we are supposed to live in a modern and civilised world, so what country is likely to invade Britain? Of course, I play the 'advocatus diaboli' and the cost of Trident is beyond horrendous and no match for hypersonic nuclear missiles that can wipe out Britain in a single strike. Yep, it makes perfect sense, as Britain is being obliterated, send out our Tridents in retaliation - provided America agrees with the action.

Our communities (thank goodness some still exist) where people worked locally and lived locally with small shops, post offices and pubs, have gradually started to disappear. The government of Great Britain sought new ways of growing the economy, it encouraged casino banking, the growth of large companies, the arrival of large supermarkets which broke apart the communities as shops and people were put out of work and moved into the cities to find other employment. And later, internet online shopping by huge corporations which compiled the problem. The age of consumerism had arrived, but it was argued by the failed politicians, that Britain had to move with the times, lest it fall behind. Suddenly, the continual growth of the economy became paramount and recession became a bad word – the age of boom and bust had arrived. The economists could find no other alternative, because as the population grew, so did the demand for economic growth.

We British, now live in a western society which is driven by a consumer economy; in simple terms, the government requires that you borrow money and spend it. Therefore, money for loans and used to purchase material possessions is readily available. This money (credit) is available by design to lead youngsters into a never-ending debt trap for the rest of their lives and one, from which they cannot escape. Those banks, those financial institutions which lend you money, do not want you to pay it all back, they want your monthly interest payments to go on and on, and they bank your monthly interest. The money you have borrowed is spent on your purchases and ends up in the pockets of the large corporate businesses and their shareholders; the wealthy ruling elite. Perhaps

the worst debt traps are student debt and online gambling which is driven and even encouraged by the government.

The young honestly believe that wealth and material possession will bring them happiness. Even if that wealth is through a credit card, and very often many credit cards, which are relatively easy to get. A student walking into a university students hall will be met by stalls of bankers, only too willing to hand out a £3,000 credit limit on a card and they also get a huge student loan. The rate of suicides is on the rise as the youngsters cannot cope with the financial pressure of debt. The government's answer – debt councils. Well that's all right then, and the banks just carry on handing out cheap loans and credit cards.

If the banks and financial institutions fail, then it is the government, and using tax payers money (your money) that bails them out; whilst you remain in debt. The bankers never go to jail for their financial transgressions. Even worse, if the government requires money to stimulate the economy, it just prints it (called quantitative easing) and lends it at zero rates to the banks on the condition they lend it to you. To make matters worse, if the government cannot make enough money from its exports to other countries, it just creates debt bonds which it sells to private companies and sometimes the central banks of other countries. In the worst case, a government will borrow money from the International Monetary Union and/or The World Bank which are both based in Washington D.C. in America. The government has to pay interest on the bonds it sells and any money it borrows. The current (2020) National Debt of Britain is £1.4 trillion and its yearly interest payments are around £49 billion. Therefore, the British National Debt just keeps rising at £5,170.00 per second. The truth however is much worse, factoring in all liabilities including state and public sector pensions, the real national debt is closer to £4.8 trillion, some £78,000 for every person in Britain.

When the value or the savings return of British currency is threatened, many people will elect to put their savings into gold, but most will seek to invest in property. A wise person will recognise that the gold or the property does not have to increase in value or even return a rent because it is best to place your money in gold or property rather than see it become devalued in a bank and in the worst case, like Germany after the 2<sup>nd</sup> World War, become almost worthless. The secret, is the ability to recognise when you have to convert your money to gold or property. The main consideration when purchasing gold is that you actually purchase physical gold and have it transported to your home or place where it is to be secured. The stark reality is that many gold bullion and gold coin suppliers, offer a certificate of ownership with your gold purchase stored in their premises. Of course, in many cases it is a giant 'Ponzi Scheme' because they don't actually hold enough gold to cover all the certificate investments. As long as **everyone** does not demand their gold to be sent to them, well, the 'Ponzi Scheme' keeps on working – always ask for your gold investment to be physically sent to you with secure insurance cover on the signed delivery.

Today with the advent of the Covid-19 virus, there are strange forces at play as it has facilitated the perfect storm to mask the harsh reality that the western capitalist economies were already collapsing, especially in Britain, through massive unsustainable debt. In June 2020, the Bank of England decided to increase quantitative easing by £100bn to support the economy through the coronavirus crisis and it follows a well-trodden path. Ever since it began to print money and inject it

into the financial system after the 2008 financial crash, its answer to any and all economic problems has been to print a bit more. The interest savings rates in Britain have changed very little over the last 12 years, from an average high of 0.75% in 2018 and 2019 to the current 0.45%. Quantitative easing on the other hand has expanded from £200bn in 2009 to £745bn today. The average working man is now working more hours for less wages (in real terms of value) than back in 2007.

Britain over the last 12 years has implemented austerity whereby the rich have become richer, the poor have become poorer, and we have seen poverty levels go through the roof and an explosion of food banks for those who cannot feed their children. The government had bragged about low unemployment, but the harsh reality is that many working men were on contractual employment with a zero guarantee of any long-term employment or a pension. Covid-19 has allowed the British government to terminate austerity, borrow and print more money, and use it to bail out debt ridden British corporations, banks and financial institutions that should have failed. It goes against the 'free market' and everything that 'Capitalism' stands for. Imagine if they had attempted to achieve this without the mask of Covid-19 to blur and bury news. The government (the taxpayer) still owns part of the Royal Bank of Scotland that it bailed out years ago. The British public debt is set to rise and it is likely to reach the £2 trillion level and perhaps even higher; it is a debt that the country is unlikely to recover from – Britain is now officially bankrupt. They will either re-introduce austerity for the working man for the next 30 plus years, whilst protecting the elite, and/or devalue the £ by printing even more money.

A person who saves is being robbed by low interest returns on their savings, whilst banks are dishing out cheap money loans that very few will be able to repay. It's a form of feudalism, not dissimilar to the middle ages, where a few elites in the banks, financial institutions and corporations with enormous wealth in property assets and ownership of property mortgage debt will control the decision making of the government. They will hold the home owners to perpetual interest payments and take over the property assets in the case of a default on the credit. The working man will continue to work without any form of employment protection for very little money. Of course, it will create an even greater debt bubble and like in 2008, it may well burst; and just like in 2008, the elites will expect the government and the taxpayer to bail them out. Britain is very likely to head into a depression that will remain perpetual with massive deflation and take Britain back to the depression of the early 1930s.

The Bank of England will continue to print and borrow money; they have crossed the 'Rubicon' and there is no going back. The next step will be to attempt to remove all cash transactions and control the people's finances, savings and credit, through plastic debit and credit cards. The final collapse of neoliberal politics, spawned in the west, should have happened in 2008 but the neoliberals, kept their global 'Ponzi Scheme' in motion to delay the inevitable. The final nail in the coffin, and proof of a near collapse, will be if they reduce pension payments and make the bank savings interest rates negative; in other words, you will pay the banks interest to hold your savings. The government has already raised the pension age. The British economy has been failing for decades and in late 2019 was reaching a crisis point, Covid-19 came along at the right time.

As Britain moves forward into 2021, thousands if not millions will become unemployed; rents will not be paid, evictions will rise, and many, including businesses, will accept cheap loans to pay their way. However, when things settle down, those loans will have to be paid back. The impact on the economy may take years to recover, if it ever does. Mortgages may be delayed, but rest assured, the lenders will make up those lost interest payments. It remains to be seen that if working from home and over the internet is profitable for corporations; we might eventually see the demise of 'going to the office' in the morning and the office buildings sold off. The air transport industry is most likely to see the collapse of many airline companies. There is no doubt that once the government ends isolation, we may experience a more insular society?

The rates of cancer and other critical illness deaths are on the rise as people have been deferred from hospital attendance or have chosen not to go to hospital, because they might catch the Covid-19 virus. Suicides are on the rise, the deaths of old folks who have locked themselves away, afraid to go out, unable to feed themselves is on the rise and afterwards, if things settle down, how many will be found dead in their homes? Loneliness and depression remain the hidden killers and are extremely hard to overcome. Isolation from families, the breakdown of community, breakdown of social interaction, give rise to people assuming the role of the police, vigilantes and finger pointing at their fellow man's transgressions. We have already witnessed all of this and supermarkets were stripped bare of food by individuals who could not care in the slightest about the welfare of their fellow man, especially the old folks who have a hard time getting out for food. Worst of all, people of limited intelligence are attacking nurses and staff of the National Health Service in Britain. Believe it or not, this is not new, the NHS staff and other emergency services, have been abused for years, due to a weakened civil order, orchestrated by liberal politics.

When you consider the decline in a country, one must also examine the inability of its failed politicians to make sound decisions. Britain as an island, could not sustain itself for food during World War II (1939-45). Even after it tore up the tar and concrete and planted vegetables, using the Land Army of women, it still could not sustain itself without importing goods in shipping convoys from other countries. After the war, it had to ration food and commodities. Today, Britain has an even larger and rapidly expanding population and is in a worse position than before. Yet it will strive to expand the population because it requires consumers to sustain a debt-ridden economy, without any thought about the long-term consequences, should Britain ever be cut off from other countries. The Covid-19 virus gave the politicians a taste of what could happen.

Like the unsound decisions made by failed politicians with regards to home grown food to sustain Britain as an island, the decision to allow U.S. nuclear weapons on its soil goes hand in hand with the decision to build nuclear power stations. Britain has nine nuclear power stations as its main source of electrical energy. In fairness, it has moved towards wind, solar and sea power but these cannot replace the energy capabilities of nuclear power. The nuclear power station disasters at Chernobyl near the city of Pripyat in the north of the Ukrainian SSR and the Fukushima in Japan, where vast tracks of land will remain uninhabitable for thousands of years, should have set warning bells sounding in the minds of British politicians. Britain does not have a huge land mass and a nuclear power station accident could lead to a massive section of the country being uninhabitable. It makes nuclear power stations very desirable for terrorist or nuclear strikes in the event of a terror attack or

war. Nobody these days, seems to ask or care where all the nuclear waste is being deposited which is strange because back in the 1960s, we had large 'ban the bomb' anti-nuclear demonstrations.

Radioactive waste is stored in containers to ensure it is completely immobile. The process of packaging converts the waste into a solid, stable, passively safe form that is kept within high integrity stainless steel or concrete containers. Packing for each level of waste is different. Low-level waste (LLW), for example, must be super compacted to minimise its volume. Waste is placed in large metal containers, similar to shipping containers, and placed in concrete-lined vaults. Packaging for intermediate-level waste (ILW) involves encapsulation in cement-based materials within 500 litre stainless steel drums or 3m<sup>3</sup> stainless steel boxes. Large items are packaged in higher capacity stainless steel or concrete boxes. High-level liquid waste is incorporated into borosilicate glass, using a process called vitrification, and then poured into stainless steel canisters, which hold approximately 150 litres. A stainless-steel lid is then welded on. Currently, there are a number of intermediate-level waste packaging plants operating at Sellafield. These plants package a variety of solid wastes from spent fuel reprocessing. ILW packaging plants are also operating at Dounreay, Harwell, Trawsfynydd and Windscale. Further packaging plants are being built and planned as part of the NDA's forward programme.

As nuclear waste is stored mainly at Sellafield, it is necessary for it to be transported from the power stations via train or road. This means the packaged waste must travel, for example, from Dungeness in the far south of the country to the Sellafield in the Midlands over a distance of some 400 miles. According to the Magnox Operating Programme (MOP), run by the NDA, the rate of fuel transportation approximately matches the rate of reprocessing. Transport routes are not officially published for security reasons but, according to a campaign by Greenpeace, which says the transportation of this waste by train poses a terrorist attack risk, there are over 1,000 transports carried out throughout the year. The environmental group says weekly trains carry spent fuel from each of the UK's nuclear reactors to Sellafield for reprocessing. The main routes, according to the group, who independently tracked the trains, are Hinkley Point to Crewe, Sizewell to Willesden Junction, Dungeness to Willesden Junction, Torness to Crewe, Wylfa to Crewe, Hartlepool to Sellafield, Crewe to Sellafield, Carlisle to Sellafield, Hunterston to Carlisle and Heysham to Carlisle. Well, that's all right then, the British public are quite safe, thanks to failed politicians who could not care less in finding an alternative energy solution and decommissioning the nuclear power stations. Ostriches, with their heads buried in the sand, spring to mind. In fairness, perhaps the British politicians are 'trapped', and they understand that a British population is expanding at a phenomenal rate. The British population will rise to 70 million before 2030 and more than half of the increase will be from immigration – there is no alternative energy on offer that can replace nuclear power but the thought of reducing the British population, is not up for discussion.

The World Wide Web was created at CERN in Switzerland in 1990 by a British (UK) scientist named Tim Berners-Lee. He is one of the pioneer voices in favour of net neutrality, and has expressed the view that Internet service providers should supply "connectivity with no strings attached", and should neither control nor monitor the browsing activities of customers without their expressed consent. Network neutrality, or simply net neutrality, is the principle that Internet service providers (ISPs) must treat all Internet communications equally, and not discriminate or charge differently



based on user, content, website, platform, application, type of equipment, source address, destination address, or method of communication. Berner-Lee was and is naïve, and whilst his invention opened up education, and knowledge to a wider audience (right across the world) it also opened up pornographic material, online gambling and sexual and violent content in videos which also included the very worse of human behaviour, a clear display of the lack of morals and all accessible to the very young on the click of a mouse.

Today, social websites and internet website search engines, are a method of gathering up users' details, their search details and their internet email and conversations. All of this data is stored on massive computer servers (called the cloud) hidden in buildings, under the ground and even under the sea; all accessible by various governments, either legally or illegally through software hacking and especially by the U.S. where the software tech giants exist. Today, the internet is used for government propaganda, and various countries use it to sway the opinion of the user's opinions in an effort to divert their attention away from those countries and governments transgressions.

The internet is not going to go away; with countries being linked by underground and undersea fibre cables and with the growing number of communication satellite above our planet, it can only grow exponentially. It has slowly and gradually removed the banks and shops from our high streets, and everything is done online across the internet. Shopping is now done from the armchair using a smartphone or a computer with your credit card details, and delivered to your door. Cash is disappearing as a credit or debit card have replaced it. Bank transfers are conducted using smartphones and computers from the home and even during your travels. Fraud is wide spread and hackers have a field day, stealing money from bank accounts by cloning your personal identity, and to con old folks out of their savings. There is share investment fraud and the failure to supply goods after taking payment, costing ordinary folks billions of their hard-earned savings or in some cases, the banks, who compensate some of them for the loss. I often surmise, what would happen if there were a nuclear war between the superpowers, and the internet was destroyed, a logical step if you wish to neuter an enemy's land and sea communications.

Today, the decline of our cultural and communities are serious problems. There has always been migration and immigration and for centuries the culture of Britain hardly changed. However, that all changed when in the late 1990s, the flood gates were opened in Britain and anyone and everyone were allowed into the country. Many received free housing, welfare and in many cases, their needs were placed before British residents who had been on council house waiting lists for years. The liberal elite, who were safe in their ivory towers, embraced the immigrants and even the illegal immigrants. It was inevitable that there would be a culture class and over the following decades, the rise of the ghetto for the ethnic minorities and fenced enclosures with security guards for the wealthy elite, took hold. Make no mistake, these immigrants filled the workplaces of the jobs that the average British person would not take, even doctors and nurses were, and still are, imported into skilled jobs in the NHS, and do a fine job. However, there is an awful lot of migrants that would cut your throat for money to purchase drugs and many have formed gangs which are criminal organisations. They now control certain streets in the cities, and London must be the capital of knife crime as even young kids are stabbed to death or shot by gang members and drug pushers, carrying illegal firearms.

Terrorism is a serious concern for any government and thanks to Britain's alliance with the U.S. and the combined interference in distant countries, in particular Libya, Iraq, Afghanistan and Syria, there has been a gradual increase in terrorist attacks, especially in Britain. Bombings, machete attacks, knife attacks and shootings, leading to the murder of British citizens, are gradually becoming common events. Of course, if the British government keeps apologising, whilst doing very little else to stop terrorism on British soil; it can only lead to an escalation and in all probability, retaliatory attacks by British citizens on the races of those individuals, who perpetuate the terrorist attacks. I briefly cover religion, later in this book and whereas you can have a multi-cultural society in Britain, failed politicians in the British government, think that the military forces of Britain can maim and kill individuals in another country without any form of retaliatory terrorism being brought to British shores. If Britain keeps meddling in other countries, home grown terrorism in a multi-cultural society, can only increase and innocent British citizens will continue to pay with their lives for the actions of their politicians.

Of course, there are hidden forces at play in the expedient growth of a society and these forces are taboo subjects for all world leaders and those who hold ministerial office; they are race and religion. One can pass all the laws to suppress race and religious racism, but it is a fact of life, in many white and black people. You can attempt to breed and educate it out, but as sure as night follows day, when there is racial competition to survive in an overpopulated society, racism will once again raise its ugly head, especially if one race is in poverty, and there is nothing anyone can do about it. The white and the black ghettos exist in Britain today, and it is folly by the politicians to deny they exist and not address the causes. The wealthy elite are building (white) secure neighbourhoods with private gates and security guards whilst the blacks endure poverty in black housing schemes. The newspapers, television and social media play their part in stifling racial debate; and any interview of a black person, invariably has its comments section turned off for fear of raising racial tension in the replies. It remains to be seen if the black man will further dilute his cause and his ability to become accepted by a wider society, especially if some keep promoting themselves as the underdogs and attacking all whites as 'white supremacists'. Perhaps they simply have no choice. However, the Black Lives Matter organisation will not change the segregation and systemic racism that exists in both the black and the white communities in Britain today. Sadly, it will act as a catalyst for the worse of these communities (both black and white) to use it for their own violent agendas, which unfortunately, includes riots on British streets and the worst offenders are left-wing or right-wing, white activists.

Slavery exists in Britain: there are thousands of people in Leicester working in modern slavery conditions. There are around 10,000 modern slaves in Leicester in about 250 sweatshops and they have gone under the radar for such a long time because the city council does not inspect them. Locally, it is an open secret in Leicester, everybody knows about it. In 2020, the most quoted example in Britain of racism and slavery is 'The Confederate States of America' which imported black African slaves to tend to their cotton fields. It has proven to be the catalyst along with the oppression and killing of black people in America in 2020 for the start of 'The Black Lives Matter' (BLM) movement. It has resulted in riots throughout Britain and other western countries. The demonstrators, and many are left-wing white activists, want any street name with racism and

slavery connotations to be changed and any statues, especially of statesmen that were directly or indirectly involved in slavery, torn down. It remains to be seen if the demonstrations and in particular the riots with the destruction of property, looting and serious assaults on opposing factions and the police, subside or morph into something more serious? The western media, the activists, the celebrities and the politicians, conveniently omit to tell the British public that slavery is alive and well, thriving in Libya; thanks to the bombings by NATO, including Britain and America in 2011. Libya completely collapsed and its leader Muammar Mohammed Abu Minyar Gaddafi (c. 1942 – 20 October 2011), commonly known as Colonel Gaddafi, was assassinated. He had kept all of the warring ethnic groups in the country in check, and Libya under his leadership was a sovereign state. Slavery is now thriving in Libya, where thousands of black Africans hoping to get to Europe, instead, find themselves bought and sold, forced to work for nothing, and facing torture at the hands of their owners. Today, there are thousands of slaves for sale and you can take your pick and purchase a slave for around \$500.

In Libya, extortion is so widespread that slaves even have a market value depending on which country they're from. Eritreans, who have a large, well-organised diaspora, command the highest prices, while West Africans fetch the smallest ransoms and are the most likely to be ill-treated. The European Union officials, who have denounced the inhumane conditions in detention centres, nevertheless say that they have no alternatives. They often quote, "Libya is a sovereign country and we need to be working in close partnership with the Libyan authorities, we're not turning a blind eye to the situation. We're trying to do our best in the situation that is not easy." Having caused the problem with their bombing and creating the disintegration of the country, the EU officials can sleep soundly in their beds - not so, the Libyans. Nobody in Britain, especially the government, is held accountable or even in the NATO forces, whereas back in 1945, they would have been hauled up in front of 'The Hague' and tried for war crimes.

However, slavery is not a new phenomenon; the practice had existed for thousands of years. The Egyptians enslaved mixed races and in particular a large number of Hebrews. There were slaves during 2500 BC–609 BC in the time of the Assyrian Empire of Mesopotamia. The Roman Empire used mixed races of slaves to work in their mines. In the late 1500s and early 1600s, there were around 35,000 European Christian white slaves held throughout that time on the Barbary Coast, many in Tripoli, Tunis, and various Moroccan towns, but most of all in Algiers. These Europeans were captured by Barbary pirates and sold as slaves in North Africa and 'The Ottoman Empire'. Between 1530 and 1780, the number of European white slaves on the Barbary Coast was as high as 1,250,000, and most ended their days as slaves in North Africa; dying as a result of starvation, disease, and maltreatment.

Slavery in the world, especially in Africa and the Congo is alive and kicking. Its child labour, and the kids are building tech gear for large corporations based in America and Britain. Concealed from the general public and condoned by British politicians that turn a blind eye, the hypocrisy is mind blowing. Corporate companies throughout the world, and some who operate in Britain, use child labour for cheap manufacturing and assembly to boost their profits. There is no outcry in the media, there is no large-scale investigative journalism and any attempt to bring this 'modern slavery' to world attention is quashed by corrupt politicians and business corporations, who have the media in

their back pocket. The power of multi-national corporations has a long reach and many honest politicians and governments, are afraid to cross them.

Anyone with common sense, can see a storm brewing in Britain. Due to its massive government and personal debt, and added to by the shutdown of businesses as a result of the Covid-19 virus, it sinks further and further into becoming a third world country. By 2030, the British government Britain may well have a debt ceiling of £3 trillion as the current government in 2020, attempts to spend itself out of financial trouble with a massive investment in the rebuilding of British infrastructure. A million British activists, cannot stop racism and the poverty which acts as a catalyst for racial conflict. History has a strange habit of repeating itself. In 1919, race riots took place throughout Britain in Glasgow, South Shields, Salford, Hull, London, Liverpool, Newport, Cardiff and Barry. Crowds of white working-class people thousands strong, targeted minority ethnic groups including African, African-Caribbean, 'Arab', south Asian and Chinese workers. A tidal wave of racism spread throughout the country and many from all different races were killed. The race riots were a precursor for the Great Depression as Britain faced a massive decline in its economy. The Great Depression in the United Kingdom, also known as the Great Slump, was a period of national economic downturn in the 1930s, which had its origins in the global Great Depression. It was Britain's largest and most profound economic depression of the 20th century.

Race riots can only become larger and more violent as one little spark can release the venom that is building up in an expanding society that no longer has the financial resources to care for all its people. I site Nazi Germany as the 'classic' example when one man called Hitler and a German society, driven by ever increasing poverty and the need to re-establish itself in a world order, exterminated 5 million of its own Jewish citizens. It was their religion (Judaism) which defined their race. Then there is Josip Broz Tito, commonly known as Tito, who was a Yugoslav communist revolutionary and statesman, and who ruled Yugoslavia with an iron hand. After his death on the 4<sup>th</sup> May 1980, Yugoslavia gradually fragmented which led to the Kosovo War between 1998-1999 when racial genocides by Serbian and Albanian forces took place.

Then there is the Rwandan genocide, also known as the genocide against the Tutsi, which was a mass slaughter of Tutsi, Twa, and moderate Hutu in Rwanda, which took place between 7th April and 15th July 1994 during the Rwandan Civil War. An estimated 500,000 to 1,000,000 Rwandans were killed, about 70% of the country's Tutsi population. Sexual violence was rife, with an estimated 250,000 to 500,000 women raped during the genocide. Those failed politicians who think it can never happen here in Britain, have no sense of history. They think because we live in modern times that a 1789-1799 French Revolution, a 1917-1923 Russian Revolution and especially, the 1642-1651 English Civil Wars cannot be repeated.

This brings me to religion which I submit is the underlying generator for conflict. I could go on and list the thousands of conflicts and wars throughout the centuries which were caused as a direct result of religious beliefs but instead, I will choose to make a simple statement – "The love for humanity is paramount to our well-being, we are all part of it. If we turn in on ourselves, we can lose all sense of morality, our ability to reason and this is what separates us from the beast. It is due to the conflicts of the main five religious orders – Judaism, Islamic Sunni and Islamic Shia, Christian

Catholic and Protestant, that we will never have peace in the world and it is a world that we all have to live in. All these religions believe in the same God. Our virtue and our love for humanity surpasses all religions; but if religion becomes a catalyst for conflict and war, we lose both.”

In Britain, the standard of education in the vast majority of secondary schools and universities has fallen, year after year. They water down the exams and claim the students are excelling in their studies. Britain does not turn out many scientists and engineers, and if you compared our young to those being educated in China – we are woefully behind their educational standards. It is no surprise, universities are now a business, they are money making machines with accountants and executive officers running them. They get their money from government charities, businesses, international sources and through students with the fee going up on a regular basis. It’s not going to change, it’s too lucrative a business.

Universities in Britain are toxic institutions of prejudice which are now run by the liberal elite. Free speech, is the wrong speech, and to be rejected by the teachers and students alike, if it does not fit their liberal views and political agenda. With each generation, Britain will become overrun with more and more youngsters who have been brainwashed by left leaning liberals and you cannot rebuild a country on the back of that. I abhor right-wing and left-wing politics but if the liberals and the media promote prejudice and block free speech, it can only lead to conflict in society. Free education and a high standard of education for all, is a pipe dream, but then Britain is actually bankrupt – so no surprise there. In any financial year end, the heads of educational departments (and the NHS) will rush to retailers to place orders for an invoice, even if they had to wait until after the end of the financial year end for the goods, which they do not actually require. If they do not have that invoice or invoices before the financial year end to make up the shortfall in their annual budget, it will be reduced for the following year. No wonder Education and the NHS require so much money when those in authority make no effort to save it.

The boasts by successive British governments that they are pouring billions into the National Health Service for its upkeep, masks the harsh reality that an exponentially expanding population cannot, and will not, be properly supported. The recent Covid-19 virus epidemic brought that home very sharply, when old folk were turfed out of the hospitals into care homes to die and to make room for the expected Covid-19 patients. Even worse, the medical staff, and care home assistants, everywhere in Britain, did not have the proper masks, gloves and gowns to protect themselves from the virus. These are troubling times, there have been further outbreaks (June 2020) of Covid-19 across the world and it appears that it is a virus like flu, albeit more infectious and dangerous, and one that we are all going to have to live with. Isolation will eventually have to be abandoned lest Britain descends into economic chaos with increasing street violence and poverty. There is no doubt that many people will have few or no symptoms and become carriers of the virus and I suspect the British government and British society will have to accept the Covid-19 deaths as normal, just like deaths caused by flu, heart attacks and cancer.

For centuries, especially in the UK, contact tracing of people suspected of being infected and self-isolation, as well as village isolation, has held the key to controlling the spread of a virus and to eventually bring about its demise. Of course, back then, the population of the UK was very much

smaller and cities, like London were not so highly populated. The distances between villages kept the community and the workplace local, but today, we live in different times. The idea that we can TTI (Test, Trace and Isolate) a person and their contacts via a smartphone application is just as daft as stating that we can TTI people physically using inspectors and with the assistance of NHS and medical records. The UK now has over 65 million people and the vast majority live in cities, and the ones who don't, live outside and travel into these cities to work; many travel globally – so contact tracing (TTI) is nothing but a nice sound bite.

**COVID-19** is a contagious disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). It is an illness that can affect your lungs and airways and is caused by an airborne **virus**, called coronavirus **and spread in a similar way to colds and the flu**. Symptoms include a cough, a high temperature and shortness of breath. There's no specific treatment as yet and the care which is applied, seeks to relieve the symptoms until you recover. It's not known exactly how it spreads from person to person, but similar viruses are spread in cough droplets.

Nature always seems to have its way, just like it has done for thousands of years. It's like migration: the human race has been migrating ever since they first appeared on the planet. Changing conditions create migrations; climate changes, illness, lack of food supplies, and warring tribes. It's the same with life threatening viruses: nature attempts to control numbers; it desires to weed out the weak and reduce numbers. So far and up to the 30th December 2020, there are 82,151,842 confirmed COVID-19 global cases, with 22,293,693 still infected and 1,793,737 people who have died; but the number infected, and not recorded, will be much higher. COVID-19 is capable of developing new strains (mutation) and is here to stay; it's not going to go away anytime soon. Sure, it may seem to disappear but like its counterparts, the Common Flu, MERS, SARs and Swine Flu it will raise its ugly head again. MERS, SARs, Swine Flu and the Common Flu, are still killing people, especially older folks and those with underlying health conditions and weak immune systems.

The numbers of the global deaths caused by Common Flu, despite a readily available vaccine, according to the World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that **flu** kills between 250,000 and 500,000 people around the world **every year**. In the **UK** the **annual flu** season runs from about October to March or April and kills around 17,000 to 30,000 people; the vast majority have advanced old age and illness. Most cases of **flu** occur between December and February. COVID-19 will definitely add to that number and become normalised. It will have its peaks and troughs and unless it mutates, it will become weaker as the populace of the world gradually gains immunity. It remains to be seen if it finally takes the route of SARs which is now extremely rare or the route of the Common Flu.

The new 2020 Coronavirus vaccines are supposed to be 95% effective but vaccines for the common flu which have been around for years, are only 60% effective. Every winter, the UK National Health Service encourages the elderly to take the flu vaccine but the vast bulk of the UK population, especially the young, do not take it. When you begin to analyse the annual impact of the common flu which is a coronavirus, it is logical to analyse the ongoing impact of the COVID-19 virus –

- What are the death figures for the common flu in 2020?

- Is the Covid-19 virus test 100% reliable and if not, how many people in the UK could have been wrongly tested positive with the Covid-19 virus?
- How many people who have died in hospitals, care homes and were dying of illnesses unrelated to Covid-19, have had the Covid-19 virus put on their death certificate as the cause of death?
- Will a COVID-19 vaccine have to be taken by an individual every year for him or her to remain immune?
- What is the efficacy of the Covid-19 vaccine – can you still become infected?
- Can a person who is immunised, infect someone who is not, in other words, the person who is injected with vaccine antibodies, become a hidden carrier of the COVID-19 virus and pass it onto others?
- Can someone who is infected with COVID-19 become a hidden carrier of the virus and pass it on to others?
- Can someone who has become infected with COVID-19, become infected again, just like in cases of the common flu?
- What are the potential long-term side effects of a COVID-19 vaccine?
- Who can be held legally responsible if a person dies as a result of a Covid-19 vaccine?
- Will we have to accept that we must live with the Covid-19 virus, similar to how we live with the common flu?
- How many COVID-19 cases were actually the common flu, especially as during late 2019/2020, the usual number of flu cases in the UK seemed to disappear?
- After the COVID-19 virus eases, many questions will be raised. How many folks were found dead in their homes after the isolation period ended?
- How many people had a fear of attending hospital for other illnesses, lest they be infected with the virus and how many NHS treatments and operations for heart, cancer and other serious illnesses were cancelled or setback to later dates whereby hundreds if not thousands have died as a result?
- How many people have committed suicide as a result of depression and a loss of hope?
- Were more deaths caused by the lockdowns than the actual virus?
- Will the economy ever recover, due to the astronomical UK National Debt?

I am very wary of the long-term impact on my health of a COVID-19 vaccine that can engage and alter my human DNA, even if it is only temporary. Who can forget those poor women in the 1950s and 1960s who took the wonder drug to prevent morning sickness, insomnia and depression? By then around 10,000 babies had been born worldwide who either had shortened arms or legs, or no limbs at all. A few of these "thalidomide children" won damages in 1968 and the rest were covered by a 1973 out-of-court settlement with Distillers, who made the drug.

Vaccines that are rushed to market are invariably created by pharmaceutical companies in a race to beat their competitors for corporate profits. Who can forget the U.S. GlaxoSmithKline Pandemrix vaccine for swine flu, a shot that was rushed to market without proper testing in the midst of a 2009 epidemic, during which public health officials were shrieking about enormous death tolls that never materialised, with some claiming the death toll would rival the 1918 influenza pandemic? The result

of the hasty approval process was an unsafe, ineffective shot that left over a thousand recipients permanently brain-damaged, some 80 percent of them children. Forty percent of NHS staffers were vaccinated under false pretences, told the shot was safe and effective. The UK Government was forced to pay out millions of pounds in compensation, as GlaxoSmithKline had refused to supply the drug to governments until it was indemnified against lawsuits. It remains to be seen if the new vaccines for COVID-19 are safe with very few side effects. The idea of a COVID-19 jab for 65 million plus people in the UK, is, well, quite frankly, not going to happen. A great number within the UK will simply refuse to take it. In any case, many vaccines and medical treatments have caused more ill health than is generally admitted.

The human immune system is the key to survival and there are many myths and facts going around as to how to make it strong. It is up to the individual to research the correct foods to eat and to avoid any external toxins which can impair the immune system. It would appear from recent clinical studies, that obese people, even the young, who are obese, are susceptible to picking up the COVID-19 virus. This may be the reason that countries like the United States of America, who have a high obesity rate in their population, and who are basically unfit, are experiencing a high death rate? Another factor which has raised its head, is that ethnic minority groups are also susceptible to becoming infected. I have always avoided antibiotics for illness as I believe through regular use, they weaken the immune system, but that is only my belief, and when illness threatens to kill you, I guess there is no alternative. There is absolutely no doubt that anti-biotics have been added to our food in the last 50 years, and this has predominantly occurred in America, especially to assist in the growth of their cattle and pigs. This has had a dire impact on reducing the American populations ability to fight off disease, especially viruses. Perhaps this is why there is such a high death rate in America due to Covid-19? Now that the UK has left the Europe Union, it is entirely possible that the UK will lift the European restrictions on America meat and it will be sold freely in the UK?

For centuries, especially in the UK, village isolation has held the key to controlling the spread of a virus and to eventually bring about its demise. Of course, back then, the population of the UK was very much smaller and cities, like London were not so highly populated. The distances between villages kept the community and the workplace local, but today, we live in different times. The idea that we can TTI (Test, Trace and Isolate) a person and their contacts via a smartphone application is just as daft as stating that we can TTI people physically using inspectors and with the assistance of NHS and medical records. The UK now has over 65 million people and the vast majority live in cities, and the ones who don't, live outside and travel into these cities to work; many will travel globally – so contact tracing (TTI) is nothing but a nice sound bite.

The cases of Covid-19 are reported daily, but imagine if the UK Government held daily press conference updates and the UK media were as hysterical over Common Flu cases and constantly reported the number of flu 'infected' cases and deaths per day. That never happens. However, if **flu** kills between 250,000 and 500,000 people around the world **every year**, and 17,000 to 30,000 in the UK, one can only imagine the colossal number that were infected and survived.

Herd immunity against viruses has existed for thousands of years whereby the human immune system built up defences to fight off illnesses and millions have died in an effort to maintain that



immunity. HG Wells even wrote a book 'The War of the Worlds' which brought that herd immunity into global focus. Foreign travel has become the norm and mankind mixes freely. Of course, viruses have spread across the world as a natural progression: it followed man and his travels. We have accepted that people will die of the common flu all across the world, despite an annual vaccine being readily available and we make little fuss of that fact. For sure, just like the 'Spanish Flu' which lasted 2 years, and was reputed to have killed 17 – 50 million people, many millions could die before COVID-19 stabilises and that should also be accepted because the survivors have to build up natural defences.

Eventually, the public will start to realise, that staying in isolation is not living, it's just not dying - there is a difference.

In the 1970s, the mentally ill in Britain were being turned out onto the street, the care staff becoming unemployed and the buildings sold off for blocks of flats and in many cases turned into money-making universities. Many technical colleges went the same way. The mentally ill could be seen lying around on the streets and the ones that were cared for by their relatives would often cause mayhem in their home with incidents of serious assault and in many cases, suicide. Today, many are classed as homeless and left to fend for themselves and many of the mentally ill, for no definable reason, assault, knife and murder people on the street and in parks. Successive governments will never admit that the reason the institutions were closed, was because there was no money to maintain the medical care. In the 1980s, it was not uncommon for a dangerous and mentally ill patient to live at home and attend a hospital on a voluntary basis to receive medication that would remove his aggressiveness and, in some cases, his urge to attack someone. Of course, if they went missing, it was the standard practice for the doctor at the mental hospital to report him as missing and potentially dangerous. The police would have to trace him and take him to the hospital where he would be detained. Later he would be released, and once again, become a voluntary patient and living at home. Of course, if he ever killed someone on the street, it would be covered up – it avoided bad press and any potential private law suit brought on the hospital and/or the government, by the relatives of the victim.

During the middle 1970s in Britain, the high standard of street policing started to fall, as did the numbers on the beat. It should have been expected, as most of the post war police officers who were ex-military, hardened by their actions in World War II, and with a great deal of common-sense, and a terrific knowledge of human behaviour, retired. No more was the 'knowledge' relating to individuals, their histories and their families, the prostitutes, the hardened criminals and the repeat offenders in a beat area paramount. No more, was patrolling the streets in large numbers, 24 hours a day, to prevent crime and preserve lives and property, the main goals. A new breed of young university graduates arrived and without any street experience, or indeed any knowledge of life, were made sergeants, inspectors and eventually Chief Constables to lead a new generation of young officers. Common sense and the 'knowledge' went right out the window and gradually the liberal elite drove successive governments to neuter the police in their previous non-political duties, whereby the police were no longer independent and became politicised by the agendas of councils and government. Even worse, the individual officers in the police became politicised through left-wing council indoctrination and the constant left-wing exposure to political debates on the television

and over the internet. This has led to a complete breakdown in 'the loyalty factor' whereby certain police officers of a left-wing persuasion are quite prepared to report to their superiors, any incorrect 'left-wing speak' by their colleagues. Since the late 1970s, and to save money, the various police forces in Britain have been amalgamated by reducing the number of police officers and replacing them with civilian staff in the offices, the control rooms and at the public desks.

Where once the police patrolled the streets in numbers, smartly dressed and the constables saluted their senior officers, they now sat in vans, dressed like the paramilitary and responded to incidents instead of preventing them by their presence. The computer replaced the police interaction with people and the knowledge gained by that interaction. Today a policeman can get beaten up in the streets by a crowd of youths and it is just par for the course – nobody really cares; the passers-by will video it with their smartphones and post it on social media for laughs. The activists question why the police have to be dressed as paramilitaries but the stark reality is that a liberal society created them. Successive British governments, councils and even the civil and law courts curtailed the abilities of the police to perform their duties, whereby the criminal element and sadly, those same activists in a society, gained free rein and attacked them without fear of prosecution. Everything escalates, and the policeman on the street becomes a paramilitary and if it continues to escalate, the government will eventually have to arm the police with firearms. That is the true reflection of society, but in reality, it is created by a total lack of leadership, discipline and morality from government and its failed politicians. The police force, all British police forces, are now no longer independent to prevent crime, protect lives and property, and detect offenders, they are politicised by the councils to do their bidding.

Take Scotland as an example of the decline in policing and that decline was caused by financial restraints. Since the 1960s, the police forces have been gradually merged to save money. Peebles and the Edinburgh City Police merged to become Lothian and Borders as did other city forces and the county forces within Scotland. Today, Scotland has only one police force – 'Police Scotland'. Edinburgh used to have a 24-hour manned headquarters at Fettes Avenue, plus four sub divisions, Torphichen Street, Southside, Gayfield, and Leith and each with a 24 hour manned police station. Each sub division had on average (depending on area size) a further four sub divisions and each with a 24-hour manned police station and 24-hour street beat patrols. The West End sub division of the Torphichen Street sub division used to have 12 beats with a rotation of shift policeman on each beat and the beats were street patrolled 24 hours per day and there were backup police manned vehicles. Each policeman worked from a beat box which had an electric heater in it for winter months. The beat box was a large cast metal construction which was painted blue and it was usually sited right in the middle of the beat patrol area. Today, most have been removed or turned into small coffee shops where coffee and donuts can be purchased. The average time as a beat police officer was 12 years and each policeman knew everyone on his beat, the criminals, the prostitutes, the sexual deviants and the drug dealers and pushers. He also knew the decent people whose lives he protected and by street patrols, he prevented crime by his presence on the street. The administration was run by policemen and retired policemen, so the knowledge was maintained. The wireless operators in the headquarters and the sub stations were policemen; they knew the areas, the criminals and the street routes but today, they are replaced by civilians, who have no knowledge

of policing. Today, a policeman can parade for duty in Edinburgh and be sent to Aberdeen, and on any given day, you will be lucky to find a couple of policemen patrolling the streets of the West End of Edinburgh. The police are the soul and the conscience of the people but they have to be seen on the streets, and in numbers, for it to work. The prevention of crime is all important because firefighting pockets of crime, is not an option.

The common law crime of 'Mobbing and Rioting' has all but disappeared as a liberal society enjoys the freedom of protests, attacking the police on the streets, smashing shop windows, burning buildings, looting shops, destroying statues and attacking physically and verbally over social media, anything and anyone (that even in the remotest sense) stands for anything they disagree with; especially any form of authority. Racism is a whip card; call it racism and it destroys individuals and institutions. The rise of ghettos, white and ethnic, and even parts of entire cities, are becoming the norm. No go areas in Britain, where the police never venture, to serve and protect, are on the rise as the police under the control of liberal minded councils are encouraged to stay out. This had led to the sexual exploitation of white women and children, especially in Rotherham. The Rotherham child sexual exploitation scandal consisted of the organised child sexual abuse by Asians that occurred in the town of Rotherham, South Yorkshire, Northern England from the late 1980s until the 2010s and the failure of local authorities to act on reports of the abuse throughout most of that period. Do not be fooled, it is still going on throughout Britain as the authorities, and the media, will not make it public for fear of the race card being played by activists and the perpetrators of such crimes and their families.

The court system and the prison systems commenced their decline in the late 1970s. Due to financial restraints, sentences were weakened, the bail act came into being, where even hardened criminals were let loose (after being arrested) to appear in court at a later date, instead of being remanded in jail and presented to a court judge. Of course, once they were free, they committed more crimes and at a later court hearing, they would agree, through their defence lawyer, to plead guilty to all their crimes for a lesser sentence. This was widely accepted by the prosecutors and the courts, as it avoided the costs of a protracted court case. Legal aid, the free access to taxpayer's money, by hardened criminals, led to court cases being delayed and delayed by unscrupulously lawyers for more money, whereby everyone, witnesses, juries and policemen were dragged to court, only for the criminal to plead guilty at the last moment, on the instructions of his lawyer, for a lesser jail sentence.

Deals were done between defence lawyers and the prosecutors whereby hardened criminals pled guilty to lesser sentences, a typical example being, attempted murder reduced to serious assault, whereby the jail sentence could be months instead of years. To add grist to the mill, prisons were privatised whereby a new breed of prison officer did not have the discipline and the strength of character to deal with the criminal element in prison. The consistent softly, softly approach demanded by the councils and governments, who also did not back them, led to assaults on prison officers by inmates and the rise of drugs being freely available within prison walls. In the 21st century the greatest threat to fair trials, is the constant media and internet social media attention which broadcasts the circumstances of the crime, whereby the public at large, have already pre-judged the accused, whereby it is extremely difficult to select fair jury members. Now, we have

liberal activists in parliament, demanding a reduction in prison sentences and the freeing of prisoners from jail, who apparently pose no threat of violence to society. It never enters their heads that many criminals 'get a kick' out of committing crime and their crimes, especially housebreakings, create a lot of misery for folks – some victims, even move house after the event, because they are so traumatised.

When a society firefights crime, instead of preventing the fires breaking out in the first place, the incidents of firefighting rise to unprecedented levels, whereby crime cannot be controlled. Suddenly, thefts, housebreakings and petty crime, are put on the back burner to be ignored, because the police have to deal with violent crime, including knife assaults, attempted murder, muggings, rape and murder. It's a bit like a guy in an office stealing a pen, his mates are doing the same. Once they see, they can get away with it and their bosses take no action, the rates of thefts and the size of thefts increase, until, eventually, they are walking out of the office with laptops under their coats. Today in 2020, I wonder, who in their right mind would become a policeman, a prison officer, a politician or indeed anyone in authority that interacts with a society. You can be fired, even jailed, and everything you own taken from you - just for saying the wrong words, which go against a political agenda, especially by a minority in society. Many police forces now have a 'correction section' where a police officer has to attend for a few days, if he or she, fails to speak 'the correct liberal speak'. This is Great Britain today but it is to be expected and political correctness with activism over the internet on social media and the constant debate on political matters, can only lead to a more insular society, and one that may erupt one day into a race and/or civil war; a natural process when an 'Empire' socially implodes.

In the 1960s, you would be lucky to find two tramps wandering the streets of Edinburgh, in Scotland. Of course, there were the 'wine drinkers' that lay drunk after collecting their 'giro' but they were usually locked up or retired to the local 'doss-houses'. The tramps knew better than to lie on the pavement to beg because they would also be locked up, but people did press money into their hands as they walked the streets. How come, in a so called affluent and wealthy British society, is Edinburgh now inundated with beggars and their dogs lying about the streets begging for money? I know that the police are politicised to avoid locking them up, on the orders of the council, but one has to question, where did they all come from, and why do they have to beg? It's another sign, that Britain is not as wealthy as it claims. Edinburgh is a relatively small city and I have to assume that its number of beggars, pale into insignificance, compared to London and other British cities. Let's hope, Britain does not see the rise of huge homeless ghettos, made up of tents and boxes on the streets, similar to New York and especially Los Angeles, where there are 55,000 people living rough on the streets in tents and cardboard boxes. In the future, I can imagine the Chinese setting off from their posh houses at Bowness in the Lake District and sailing their boats on Lake Windemere, whilst throwing bread to the birds and laughing at the British folks who are swimming in the water, and fighting the birds for it. Of course, I exaggerate, but the Chinese love Beatrix Potter and make trips to Britain to visit her home where she wrote 'Peter Rabbit' – I guess in the future; they might own, not only her home, but a greater part of Britain.

In Britain today, political correctness is a form of political control and sadly the individual activists have also taken to it, like ducks to water. What you say is closely monitored for 'the correct speak'

which is a left leaning liberal narrative. Heaven help you, if you go against this narrative. Whether you are on the bus, in conversation on the street, on the smartphone phone or on social media, the 'political police', those everyday individuals are always watching, always listening and sadly, the police and even the government are prepared to act on the words of an informant. Never in the field of human conflict (I love that phrase) has Britain endured so much personal surveillance akin to Nazi Germany and the Stalin years. Never mind the CCTV cameras on every street, and the smartphones that people carry, even the drivers of cars, cycles, buses, lorries and motorcycles have dash and helmet cams to video record the misdeeds of their fellow citizens, and then enjoy posting such misdeeds over the internet online in video on YouTube, Twitter and Facebook; they even hand the videos to the police to aid them in prosecutions.

Human rights in 1945 were established to protect individuals in countries from persecution. It came about as a result of 'The Holocaust', the extermination of around 5 million Jews in Germany, during World War II. It was meant to protect a collective of peoples and races. Since then, it has been expanded in Britain (through the European Union) to levels of insanity. The list of court cases where lawyers and barristers (paid by the taxpayer) have defended the human rights of individuals, is in the millions. A simple example: is where a criminal with a long record of violence, rape and even murder, and who is a foreign national, has used human rights to prevent them being deported, by claiming they would be killed in their own country of origin, if they went back. Once released from a British jail for their crimes, they are not deported, they are allowed freedom and let back on the streets of Britain. We don't have any human rights when we are videoed and reported to the police for small misdemeanours by every sad person that enjoys his or her moment of social acknowledgment, even if it is only a minority of people, that acknowledges them.

The British media today, those who report the news on television, in newspapers and over the internet, are all owned by a handful of powerful corporations with their own political agenda. Their editors block or distort any news which goes against that agenda. Politicians are no longer interviewed; they are interrogated in front of millions and later their words and ideals torn to pieces if they do not fit the preferred narrative. Where is the journalist today, he no longer exists, the days of investigative journalism are over, bar a few individuals who maintain the necessary integrity, fortitude, as well as virtue and the morality to report the news fairly. The feeding frenzy of the media is best described: "like seagulls following a trawler" - the words of the footballer (i.e. the trawler) Eric Daniel Pierre Cantona, who used those words to insult them at a press conference, and the media could not understand his meaning. It was Socrates who stated "there is only one good, knowledge; and only one evil, ignorance" and unfortunately the media perpetuate ignorance. The newspapers and their online counterparts are full of articles which convey the worst of human behaviour and invariably the news is sourced from social media and videos which have been posted over the internet. In addition, many of the articles are sourced from convenient 'anonymous informants' and lack the evidence to substantiate them.

Cultural shifts are normal in a society. In 1969 hanging for a capital crime (i.e. murder, treason) was abolished in Britain. The Sexual Offences Act 1967 which is an Act of Parliament in the United Kingdom, legalised homosexual acts, on the condition that they were consensual, in private and between two men who had attained the age of 21. A homosexual is a person who is sexually

attracted to people of their own sex. In Britain today, the homosexual male, has come a long way from the early 1960s with its hidden male sexual relationships, secret liaisons; and prosecutions. Strangely enough, through the centuries, it is the lesbians who have been less persecuted in British society. The homosexual males and females can now have civil weddings, civil partnerships; they can adopt babies and even have their own children through surrogate mothers and in the case of lesbians, through male sperm donors. The common law crime of sodomy, the unnatural connection between males (anal sex) still exists, but consensual anal sex is now widely accepted as normal sexual behaviour and no one is prosecuted.

Likewise, oral sex was frowned upon, but is now accepted as normal sexual behaviour as long as it is conducted in private, behind closed doors. However, oral sex is the harbinger of many sexual deceases, and the worse disease of all, is mouth cancer. It remains to be seen if 'Gay Pride Parades' on city streets, with their highly provocative half naked men, will further the homosexual cause for acceptance, a cause which apparently requires no additional laws. Will these parades, which are high profile in the eyes of the public, only serve to dilute their acceptance into British society? The debate being, that heterosexuals do not have 'Straight Pride Parades' to further their sexual orientation. The other argument, is that integration and public acceptance are further constrained by activist organisations linked to homosexuality; they continue to perpetuate the cause for society to accept homosexuals and to prosecute anyone (through criminal or private courts) who does not follow their guidelines for that acceptance. Of course, this is further compounded, because many races and religions in a multi-cultural British society will not accept homosexuals as part of their religious beliefs and culture. Today, and as a result of this, the assaults on homosexuals on British streets, are on the increase. Perhaps the greatest catalyst for these assaults is that although homosexual sexual acts were supposed to be consensual and in private under the Sexual Offences Act 1967, they have morphed to being portrayed in public, through movies and in television plays and documentaries.

**Climate Change or Rather Global Warming** - Over the centuries the world has witnessed mankind's many migrations, some were caused by the forces of nature such as famine, floods, volcanic eruptions and lest we forget, the current ice age with its many cold glacial and warm interglacial periods. In the last eleven thousand, seven hundred years of the 'Holocene Epoch of the Quaternary Period' and during this current warmer interglacial time, the human race has contributed to its rise, but its contribution has been like aiding a hurricane with a sneeze, especially in Britain. Eleven thousand years ago, during the last cold glacial period, thick ice glaciers expanded in the arctic region and crept down right around the world. In Scotland, the ice glaciers reached down as far as Edinburgh.

In the northern hemisphere, the sea turned to ice and to such an extent that sea levels all around the world were dramatically reduced. The sea bed under the North Sea, to the south east of England became exposed and created a land bridge to Europe where huge forests grew. During a cold glacial period, the glacier ice with such a huge magnitude of reflection, fully reflects the enormous heat from the sun. However, over thousands of years the glacier ice mass gradually melts, shrinks back, and the sea levels around the world start to rise. Today, the ice glaciers have shrunk right back to the arctic region and the ice is now shrinking at an alarming rate due to its's peak reflection no longer being maintained, whereby the ground in the northern hemisphere is heating up. It may well take

another thousand years or more for the ice to fully shrink back, as the temperatures around the world rise, and by then the sea levels will be so high, they will easily cover many coastal cities.

At this point, the world will experience an instant cold glacial reset as this warm interglacial period comes to an abrupt end. This instant reset has occurred before and can easily be proven. During the last cold glacial reset, many animals in the northern hemisphere were instantly frozen and buried 'intact' under the snow and ice, with food still in their mouths. The world, once again, will be faced with the rise of a colder glacial period spanning many thousands of years. Sea levels will fall, the glacier ice will once again stretch down and around the world from the arctic. It will cause new migrations and there is absolutely nothing the human race can do to prevent it.

**Electric Vehicles and the Irreversible Impact on Biodiversity** - In Britain there has been a massive push by the government and the vehicle manufacturers to get people into electric cars, vans and lorries. The driving force, if you will excuse the pun, has been climate change and the need for Britain to cut down on carbon emissions. Of course, the real agenda is consumerism – the requirement for the populace to spend money on electric vehicles. Today, stand in a petrol station and count the number of petrol and diesel vehicles that arrive for fuel and then imagine the massive number of electric charging points that will be required to support electric vehicles in the future. If it takes a certain amount of time to charge an electric vehicle, it is inevitable that motorway garages with electric charging stations will endure long queues. For sure, many people will charge their vehicle at home and overnight for internal city journeys but due to restrictive mileage during motorway extended travel, these vehicles will require to be re-charged at garages with electric charging points. Perhaps the greatest obstacles to home charging points are relative to people who reside in tenements and high-rise flats and who park their vehicles in the street.

It seems that the politicians have chosen to forget just how much electricity will be required to charge millions of electric vehicles in the years ahead. It can only lead to a rise in nuclear power stations with all their toxic nuclear waste. The massive carbon footprint in the mining for lithium ore (for the electric batteries) is also conveniently forgotten about, and also that lithium will become a rare commodity and therefore more expensive in the long run. Nobody seems to consider that lithium has a reputation for degradation and an electric battery in a new electric car that can travel 120 miles between charges, could in 5 years' time, only achieve 80 miles – what then the cost of battery replacement?

The recent 2019 coup d'état in Bolivia which was orchestrated by the U.S. ensured that the American corporations expected to gain direct access to the world's richest supply of lithium ore. Fortunately, this will be reversed, thanks to the recent 2020 election in Bolivia where the socialist party regained power. Amnesty international challenged industry leaders to clean up their battery supply chains. Lithium is mined mostly in Bolivia, China, South Korea, Japan and its carbon footprint continues to grow as deposits diminish and demand increases. Amnesty also warned about the deep-sea mining taking place to farm lithium, which studies have predicted will have an irreversible impact on biodiversity. Prolonged exposure to **lithium** can cause fluid to build-up in the lungs, leading to pulmonary edema. The metal itself is a handling hazard because of the caustic hydroxide produced

when it is in contact with water causing an explosion. **Lithium mining** carries high environmental costs but the British government refuses to face facts and carries on regardless.

The corporate car manufacturers are pushing ahead with electric vehicles and robots. They see huge financial rewards and the British government politicians are wholly behind the agenda. I guess it will make the taxman happy if all the petrol and diesel vehicles in Britain are replaced. Huge ongoing financial return is the preferred option and the elite, not for one minute, consider the huge rate of unemployment this will generate by the advance in artificial intelligence. Robots require rare metals, including lithium in their manufacture and this will create a massive impact on the environment. Of course, it is not going to happen all at once, the technology will probably take another 10 years to fully develop. What then, massive unemployment? There has to be a balance between the advance of digital technology, the environmental and social impact, and consideration given to the jobs it will destroy.

Future generations cannot ALL be employed to work behind computer desks, monitoring and re-programming firmware and software to maintain a digital age but then the CEOs and their shareholders in those massive corporations do not care – as long as they are raking in the profits. Also, it is a win for the banks and financial institutions who receive printed money at almost zero interest from the government and distribute it through leases or as loans to the public to allow them to lease or purchase the electric vehicles, the latest smartphones and digital gadgets. Today, the vast majority of the public lease their vehicle rather than purchase it outright or through hire purchase. In the future, people will only be able to lease robots because I doubt very much that those corporations who manufacture them, will allow them to be purchased – leasing brings in a greater financial return.

The greatest threat to man's survival is his inability to stop multiplying. The world's population levels are now rising at an alarming rate and man's aspirations to own digital technology, to feed himself and his family, to own property and drive around in an electric car will further impact on the world's resources. If he does not address his unchecked breeding then man is likely to face the wrath of nature which usually addresses such problems. Perhaps man's greatest weakness is his hubris in thinking that he is exceptional. The Covid-19 virus in 2020, brought man down to earth with a thump as his numbers were reduced. It is not over and I am sure that nature is not finished in addressing man's overpopulation of the world and the impact he has on the environment. Some people claim Covid-19 is the wrath of God, perhaps they are right, but I think that nature is creating a reset.

The population of the world in 1900 was 1.6 billion and in 2000 it was 6.1 billion. Today the world's population is 7.7 billion, a growth of 1.6 billion in 20 years. The maths are fairly straightforward, the world population in the next 80 years (2100) will grow by an additional 6.4 billion and take the total world population to around 14 billion plus. By the year 3000, the population of the world will have risen to a very conservative figure of around 80 billion people. This massive convergence of the human race will create a demand for more and more living space and a massive demand for food to sustain everyone. The waste product alone will prove to be unsustainable and the impact on the wildlife of the planet and the environment will prove catastrophic. In Britain the population in the 1700s was around 11 million, by 1900 it was around 40 million and today, 120 years later, it is



around 68 million. If it continues on that trajectory the population of Britain in the year 2100 will be around 86 million and in the year 3000 it will be a very conservative figure of around 296 million.

**The Case for Fusion or a Hydrogen Power Plant** - Of course, fusion may be the answer to produce electricity, a much safer solution than nuclear fission. However, in 2040, don't expect to install a small fusion reactor unit behind your house to produce almost free electricity, that will never happen; a corporation will probably continue to distribute the electricity from its fusion power station and charge you an absorbent amount of money per month for your power. Perhaps the ultimate power station is one that produces almost free electricity, one that uses hydrogen gas to heat water to power steam turbines to turn generators to produce electricity and in turn some of that electricity is used to produce hydrogen gas via electrolysis to power the power plant and for vehicles – perpetual energy?

**The Case for a Hydrogen Engine Rather than a Hydrogen Electric Fuel Cell** - A hydrogen gas powered combustion engine, rather than a hydrogen powered electric fuel cell, is the answer for the future of travel. Materials, such as Lanthanum strontium manganite (LSM or LSMO) and Yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ) are used in the manufacture of a hydrogen fuel cell which delivers a detrimental impact on the environment and the wildlife. LSM nanoparticles are spherical high surface metal particles that appear as a brown or black crystalline powder. Numerous studies on LSM's mechanical and electrical properties have been conducted, but very little research has concentrated on its biological effects. Nanoparticles have illustrated tendencies to promote mucus secretion and accumulation, and are linked to respiratory illnesses and mitochondrial disease - poor cell growth, muscle weakness, neurological problems, autism and hearing problems.

A hydrogen gas powered combustion engine produces no emissions other than water. However, hydrogen gas is difficult to liquify, due to the minus zero freezing (33 Kelvins: - 8,980.95 degrees centigrade) required to convert it into liquid form and maintain it as a liquid. In gas form it is highly explosive but consider this - to drive a hydrogen fuel cell in a vehicle it would be stored in gas form in hydrogen gas stations and pumped into the hydrogen gas tanks of vehicles which is the same method used to drive a hydrogen combustion engine.

The downside, none of this will prevent global warming and eventually, a new cold glacial period with millions relocating from the northern hemisphere in a mass migration.

## **British Social Decadence – 1950s to 2020**

Like all empires before it, the British Empire domestically imploded. Social decadence within a society, does not only relate to deviant sexual practises, it is also the moral and cultural decline, the lack of discipline and infantile behaviour in the adult population, as characterised by excessive indulgence in self-promotion, hubris, pleasure and luxury. It would appear that the wealthier a society becomes, or in Britain's case, massive wealth for the elite and wealth through credit cards and cheap loans, for the average citizen - the more it desires material possessions and practises deviant sexual behaviour in an effort to find new heights of pleasure.

Social decadence commenced a serious downward spiral in 1957, shortly after the Prime Minister of Great Britain, Harold Macmillan, stated “you’ve never had it so good” and so it began. With the arrival of the consumer economy and the promotions of goods in adverts on the television and later over the internet and mobile phones, the age of ‘must have gadgets’ and material possessions, arrived. The banks and corporations coined it in, whilst the youngsters adopted the attitude that they could have what they wanted in material possessions with very little effort, but of course it was all purchased on the back of credit cards. By 2018, all the kids had to have the latest smart phones and the constant bombardment of adverts and promotions led to much personal anguish as they sought to maintain social standards in their group. The debt and the depression it caused and often the loss of social standing, thanks to trolls on social media, caused many youngsters to commit suicide.

The role models of the young in Britain are invariably celebrities that enjoy massive wealth and live lifestyles that the average youngster can only dream off. Many celebrities flaunt that wealth to extremes and invariably their wealth is invested abroad in tax havens, some even live there, in countries such as Monaco and Switzerland. Many celebrities spend a great deal of their time on social media and in performing outrageous acts to increase their self-importance and usually with the help of the media. Many celebrities in 2020, have taken hubris, pleasure and luxury to new heights of decadence and the wealth divide between the rich and the poor in Britain is extreme. The youngsters follow every move of celebrities and attempt to emulate that social decadence as normal behaviour. Pick up most newspapers and they are full of celebrity news, along with their displays of hubris, the unabashed exploitation of their wealth, infantile behaviour and sexual decadence.

Since the introduction of supermarkets and shopping malls, there has been a dramatic increase in food wastage, plastic wrappings and the need to discard perfectly good clothes and material possessions for the latest fashions and gadgets. Its symptomatic of an incredible naivety in thinking it can all go on forever. We all know that plastic is wiping out animal and fish species and damaging the planet; the politicians know this, and they could banish plastic forever, by passing a simple law tomorrow – but it’s not going to happen. In fact, there are lots of laws they could pass to protect the wildlife and the planet but then greed, mendacity and the lack of fortitude and integrity in standing up to corporate businesses overrules any change in direction.

Obesity and diabetes, are on the rise in British society and mainly due to the lack of censorship in relation to the advertising of fast foods, sweets, and sugary drinks on the television, and now the internet - that rise has had a massive health impact on people, especially the kids. Ever since the television took hold, the type of adverts has progressed to include greed, mendacity and a totally lack of integrity in their content. The advertising corporations are coining it in and so are their corporate clients. I guess it was to be expected; first it was caused by fish and chip shops and then in addition, we had all those fast-food outlets from huge American corporations appearing on Britain’s streets. And let us not forget fizzy sugary drinks, especially Coca Cola and Pepsi Cola. One of the first fast American food outlets on British soil was Wimpy, which was named after the character ‘Wimpy’ in the cartoon, Popeye. Wimpy loved his beef burgers and the British public soon took to them as well. Fast food joints, and drive-in takeaways, like McDonalds, KFC and Burger King soon appeared everywhere, along with British fast food outlet chains. The British kids became obese at a very early

age. Obese mothers and father's ordered in fast food for the evening meal for the kids, rather than cook, like pizzas as well as chicken and fries and burgers, which added to the obesity. The rise of the diet soon followed, with all sorts of 'dreamt up diets' to aid people in reducing their fat bodies; diets which never seemed to work.

Of course, the celebrities got into the act with their exercise videos and gyms opened, where instead of a daily walk, people paid to exercise. There was a massive rise of liver failure in the young as they took to enjoying copious amounts of alcohol and the term 'binge drinking' was born with drunks, especially women, causing mayhem on the streets with little or no police arrests. An incredible growth of prescription drugs followed, as people developed all sorts of ailments. Pills to lower or raise the blood pressure, heart pills, anti-clotting pills, and of course painkiller pills to tackle arthritis and weakened joints and muscles through sitting on a chair, watching the television. Combined with obesity and diabetes, it led to the amputation of people's legs, the rise of the prosthetics limbs industry, and successive British governments condoned it all due to the income they received, from taxing the profits. Rehab became unashamedly fashionable and the celebrities led the way into the alcoholism and drug clinics to dry out. We have a lot to thank the Americans for – take a look at their society; that will be Britain in a few years' time, and the average life expectancy falling to around 65 years of age.

Cigarettes were well advertised in the 1950s, famous movie stars, like John Wayne appeared in the adverts, usually on a horse and bragged about how great cigarettes were. Adverts for cigarettes were everywhere, on billboards, on F1 racing cars and lined up alongside anything that had anything to do with good health, male macho images and particularly sport. Of course, the manufacturers, the British government and even the doctors knew that the nicotine in cigarettes would eventually kill you but then, every one of them was on a win, win situation. Winning, due to the money they made, either directly from the cigarette manufacturers and through treating illnesses and taxation. No wonder the Nation Health Service in Britain is now overrun and those that are ill, invariably attend at the hospital accident and emergency reception area, rather than visit a doctor. Strokes, heart attacks, cancer, clotting of limbs, amputations are all very common. John Wayne passed away in the end through cancer, although it is disputed as to whether it was cigarettes or working on a film in Utah, where the sand was radiated by previous nuclear bomb ground tests, that caused it.

**With regards to immoral behaviour, I long ago concluded that it is actioned and led by a minority in Britain who are promoted by the media in newspapers, on the television and over the internet.**

Immoral behaviour is like a cancer; it can take a long time to infect a society. First of all, it has always existed throughout the centuries, but like cancer it was curbed in its spread by strict controls that were put in place. These included, censorship of materials like pornographic magazines, newspapers and the movies that promoted it, and by a society who adhered to strict moral behaviour through Christian religious teachings and education. Those moral standards were also fed down from the politicians and those celebrities and 'pillars of society' who held sway over the young, who were and still are, very impressionable. Once you break with those traditional controls, which started in the late 1950s, it can take generations for decadence to spread to uncontrollable levels.

By the late 1960s, the moral restraints were removed, the movie censors were a new breed of liberal men who relaxed what we could view at the cinema and the porn magazines you could purchase in the shops. And gradually, but gaining momentum, all the violence, porn, mendacity, cheating, greed, and the worst of human behaviour was released into the eyes of the youth, and for some, it was seen as standard behaviour and to be embraced as acceptable.

The 1950s started a revolution, Marlon Brando, James Dean and Elvis Presley with his sexual leg and pelvic gyrations (Elvis the Pelvis) and rock and roll music, broke the mould of moral respectability. Rock originated from black gospel music, the jump blues, jazz, boogie woogie and rhythm and blues. Like all things American, rock and roll was imported into Britain. In the early 1950s, it was the 'Teddy Boys' which were a mainly British subculture of young men wearing clothes partly inspired by the styles worn by dandies in the British Edwardian period, which Savile Row tailors had attempted to re-introduce in Britain after the Second World War. Thanks to a movie in 1953, called 'The Wild One' with Marlon Brando, sitting on his Triumph motorcycle in his leather jacket and jeans, a new breed of teenager appeared, they were called 'Rockers' and they embraced motorcycles, leather jackets and jeans with a vengeance. Fights between rockers and the 'Mods' who dressed in fine clothes and rode Lambretta scooters, occurred at Brighton and other sea resorts. Mods and rockers were two conflicting British youth subcultures of the early/mid 1960s to early 1970s. Media coverage of mods and rockers fighting in 1964 sparked a moral panic about British youth, and the two groups became widely perceived as violent, unruly troublemakers. The rebellious teenager was born and the parents were mortified. Brando's movie was banned for 14 years in Britain until 1968 when the new liberal censors passed it for public viewing. Of course, back in the 1950s, rock and roll had hit the music business like an atom bomb and Britain reeled under the onslaught of a cultural change in the behaviour of the young. Eventually, the smart suits were chucked aside and the 'rock and roller' male celebrities along with their guitars, appeared on stage in tight trousers, jeans and bare chested. Sexual connotations were imbedded in the lyrics of rock and roll and the young claimed they were going to change the world whilst forgetting that previous generations, especially in the 'Roaring 20s' had made the same claim.

Those rock and roll icons, who were worshipped by the young, drugged themselves to death, many of them in their late 20s, and some that survived were 'Knighted by the Queen', and others, later in life, died of alcohol and drug related illnesses. If you drink copious amounts of alcohol, your liver will fail and if you sniff cocaine up your nose, you are likely to drop dead with a heart attack, but then cocaine heightens the sexual experience whilst at the same time, rotting your nose. Once those rock and roll icons, as well as the young who followed them, grew into adults, they could never condemn how they had lived, or the decadent and depraved culture they had created; all they could do, was to continue to embrace it. Their decadent antics pale into insignificance compared to the behaviour of some of today's celebrities. However, once you take the cork out of the wine bottle, it is damned hard to put it back in.

The arrival of the 1960s, the 'Swinging 60s' brought with it, sexual promiscuity and pop music which borrowed from other styles, including urban, dance, rock, Latin and country. Identified by short songs written in a basic form and invariably by youngsters with no formal training in music and backed by trained music producers who enhanced the melodies. Pop, also included sexual

connotations, and the common use of repeated choruses and hooks. Like many of the rock singers, many 'pop stars' embraced alcohol and drugs and a decadent lifestyle that caused many early deaths. Today, in old age, the survivors spend much of their time telling audiences that they cannot remember much of the 1960s - "like we were stoned man." Pop music survives today and through the decades, its singers have contributed to the rise of drug use in the young and unashamed sexual freedom which brings with it all the various forms of sexual diseases.

Near the end of 'The Roman Empire' the Roman elite had their sex orgies and unnatural sex, especially senators who committed sodomy with young boys, usually their personal servants. Naturally, stimulants play an important part of that sexual desire. With the collapse of 'The British Empire' in 1997, the vast majority of British society did not take those immoral roads, but the minority that did, especially the elite and the celebrities, infected others, especially the youngsters. In the 21<sup>st</sup> century, that decadence has been taken to plague like proportions, as it is broadcast every day in movies, on our televisions, over the internet from websites, in articles and in videos on YouTube, and on social media. With no internet censorship, the moral corruption which has impacted on the minds of the kids is mind staggering and has spread like a virus. The moral change in British society became irreversible, especially when Mark Zuckerberg invented Facebook, a social platform website to link people in social discussion and soon after, other social websites like Twitter, appeared.

Sex orgies, sodomy and decadent lifestyles are a natural progression in a collapsing British Empire and also collapsing western societies. Today, there are many decadent internet websites available for the British elite, especially promiscuous women, and probably, 'Killing Kittens' pretty much sums up what is on offer. The website opening statements: *"From our world-famous parties, to our sexually-charged workshops to our outrageous socials, there's something for everyone at Killing Kittens. The Rules have changed – We're sexually liberating women, men and couples every day. Sign up and become part of our empowering online community. Killing Kittens was founded in 2005 by Emma Sayle in response to demand from young, independent single girls and couples who needed something more. The world's most exclusive, decadent and hedonistic parties were created, fully focused on the pursuit of female pleasure."* Emma Sayle is one of the UK's leading 'sex-entrepreneurs'. She made her name launching the elite global adult-party brand Killing Kittens in 2005, which now has over 80,000 members having launched events across the US, Australia and Europe.

It was bad enough to encourage decadence through movies but when it arrived on the televisions in the family homes and later through internet video games with their violent content, there was no reigning back on the sexual and violent corruption that impacted on young minds. Of course, the failed politicians, many of themselves guilty of liberal decadent behaviour, condoned it all, whilst merely claiming, that it was only a true reflection of society, and conveniently forgetting to mention that it only really applied to a minority of deviants. The end result was that it spread and became normalised as a new young generation, and future young generations, bought into the decadence with drugs and sexual promiscuity accompanied by all its sexual diseases, the worse killers being Hepatitis C and AIDS.

The internet has opened the flood gates to youngsters being groomed by men pretending to be youngsters, in emails, on social media and even taking sexual innuendo to new levels by arranging meetings with youngsters. It's an uphill struggle by the British police to halt this rising plague of decadent immorality. In addition, there is the paedophile element who share images of children, discuss and even pass around youngsters for illicit underage sex, youngsters who have been conditioned and are afraid to speak out against the perpetrators of such crimes. The social work departments are overrun with cases of child molestation, incest, wife beatings, rape and the contents of the hidden reports, make for horrendous reading. It would be bad enough, if it all applied to the lowest degenerates in British society, but unfortunately, it exists across all classes.

Successive British Governments have made sexual promiscuity easier in every decade since the 1960s. They handed out contraceptive pills to the kids, taught them at school how to enjoy coitus with each other, and an illegitimate 'love child' born out of wedlock was okay and no longer frowned upon. Living in sin was okay, partnerships instead of marriages were okay and, in the aftermath, many babies were legally aborted for no other reason than they were an inconvenience (millions of them). Governments have always applied their own form of indoctrination to school kids. Hitler and Stalin used it to good effect and Hitler created the 'Hitler Youth' and instilled in the children that all Jews and Russians were evil. He even indoctrinated them to become willing soldiers, and to fight for the Third Reich. At the end of World War II, the British, Americans and Russians, found themselves killing German kids in Nazi uniforms. In Britain, the primary schools, secondary schools, universities and even the BBC are heavily involved in indoctrinating school kids with their liberal agenda. This includes teachings on gender, stereotypes, transsexuals, bisexuals, homosexuals, the meaning of LGBTQ, and of course, sex in all its forms.

The liberal agenda is to break down families and the long-held view of how society should be constructed; a view that had held good, for thousands of years. Christian Religion with the morality in its teachings has gone the way of the dodo and Christian churches are demolished for flats or became social centres, shopping markets or Islamic Mosques. It is bad enough that Christian values were demolished, and that the church ministers and priests went along with it, but to turn a blind eye to the breakup of the teachings of virtue and morality was a huge mistake. To make matters worse, the vast majority of young today have absolutely no sense of history and past events.

To add grist to the mill, voyeurism within society has always existed, the practice of gaining sexual pleasure from watching others when they are naked or engaged in sexual activity. It used to only exist in Britain through porn magazines, or in designated back street venues, but the movies, the internet and social media took it to a massive worldwide audience, especially in Britain and America. Female strippers and even male strippers had always existed in seedy pubs and back street shows and were controlled, to a certain extent. However, with the advent of music videos on the television and later over the internet, driven by music corporations, half-naked women, those singers that indulge in soft porn to promote their celebrity and wealth, and who have very little respect for themselves, have enjoyed their immoral antics infecting society. The young think it is normal behaviour and embrace it. Sex in music videos sells record albums to the young, and voyeurism has spread throughout western culture, especially in Britain.

In the 1960s the lack of government censorship allowed women to flaunt their half naked bodies in magazines, newspapers, in movies, on the television for money and sometimes because they simply enjoy the exposure. In 2020, a woman can become a multi-millionaire, even a billionaire through face, breast and bum lifts, whilst inviting the public into her life and her home. Sexual 'reality shows' on the television, now allow women and men to flaunt their near naked bodies, and perform soft porn acts; a new form of voyeurism. The internet is full of pornographic sex shows with explicit sexual acts where women and men take part for money and the young have easy access to it all. There is no censorship, it is a free for all, whereby the participants spread their decadence without any shame.

Why are women, still demonstrating for women's rights? They have come a long way since the time of 'Adam and Eve'. They have escaped the housewife in the kitchen; they have their careers, their contraceptive pills, their promiscuity, their babies, their abortions and a minority have successfully emasculated men. The macho man in Britain has all but disappeared; he has gone underground, because if he is seen to be a real man, the feminists and the media, will seek to destroy his character, especially if he is a celebrity. When a man has an affair, his wife (partner) blames him, she never blames the other woman, who has occasioned him, and in many cases, openly pursued him. There is no doubt that a **minority** of women have changed the (centuries recognised) persona of a woman in Britain and opened up a Pandora's Box leading to a huge increase in conflicts in relationships between men and women. Assaults and rapes on women are on the increase, especially rapes where a man's defence in court is that the woman consented to sex in the bedroom, and which is invariably accepted as a good defence, due to the jury's perceived notion of women openly promoting their promiscuity or as it is commonly known - 'shacking up' or 'hooking up'. It is easy to state that women have brought it on themselves, but I submit, it is a minority of women, rather than the majority, that seeks to change roles, and the transsexual route just confuses the roles of men and women even further. Women in Britain have come a long way since the suffragettes, a women-only movement founded in 1903 by Emmeline Pankhurst, which engaged in direct action and civil disobedience.

Now that divorce has been made easier, a man and a woman can break up their relationship within a couple of years through both consenting to a divorce. Society does not make living together any easier, the marital roles are brought into conflict through poverty, feminism, emasculation of men, and through the ability of married men to be seduced by promiscuous women. A man is different from a woman, he has two brains and the lower one often overrules the one in his head. Who would wish to become a married man in an age of feminists screaming for equal rights? If there is any discontent in the marriage, a man could face a quickie divorce coupled with half his assets, his kids and even his dog, handed over to his ex-wife; even if he was a millionaire before he was married to her, and even if he was only married for a year. The government and the media will offer him no sympathy and if he is a celebrity or a politician, he could face the loss of his reputation and career. The oldest profession, prostitution, is on the increase as some women have realised that many men no longer want to be involved in a relationship which burdens them with the financial and mental responsibility of supporting a woman and children. A female prostitute is better than a blow-up plastic doll, or a sex robot, so women are cashing in. For wealthy men, the high-class 'escort' call girls

operate from the fancy hotels and agencies. They are very expensive when providing an escort (female companion) service for a man for those special occasions. And for those men with a limited budget, there is always the council houses, with the common or garden prostitute.

Today, the youth in Britain face the very distinct possibility that Class A drugs will eventually become legal, if the liberal elite get their way. They are a category of controlled drugs (under the UK Misuse of Drugs Act 1971) which includes the most dangerous misuse substances, most of which are natural or synthetic opioids, but which also include a few hallucinogens. Cocaine, heroin, hydrocodone, LSD, MDMA, mescaline, methadone, methamphetamine, morphine, opium, phencyclidine and PCP, fall within this group. To add fuel to the fire, the modern opioids that doctors prescribe for pain are invariably based on codeine, highly addictive and work by attaching to opioid receptors in your brain cells to release signals that block your perception of pain and boost your feelings of pleasure. The strongest legal treatments are usually only available by prescription from a doctor and include: morphine, tramadol, fentanyl, methadone, diamorphine and alfentanil.

Opium is dried latex obtained from the seed capsules of the opium poppy. Approximately 12 percent of opium is made up of the analgesic alkaloid morphine, which is processed chemically to produce heroin and other synthetic opioids for medicinal use and for illegal drug trade. Most heroin drug addicts started off their habit with cannabis which is a genus of flowering plants in the family Cannabaceae. Many cannabis users, especially the wealthy, graduate to cocaine, which is a drug extracted from the leaves of the coca plant. It is a potent brain stimulant and one of the most powerfully addictive drugs. The user's addiction can also lead them to heroin. A drug addict becomes a person possessed to obtain more drugs. This invariably leads to petty crime followed by more severe criminal activity to obtain money for purchasing illegal drugs. Pharmacists become a target, because in desperation, a drug addict will seek to steal prescription opioids.

Weaning a person of prescription opioids and illegal drugs demands a very high level of self-willpower on their part and very few will opt for cold turkey where they stop taking drugs or any form of alternative medicine; the pain they endure is substantial and can lead to death. The general public would be mortified if they realised just how addictive prescription opioids and illegal drugs are, and the resulting carnage that is caused within British society: thefts, serious assaults, murder and suicides, to name but a few of the outcomes.

Illegal drugs have morphed into various forms of chemical pills, such as a 'speedball' which is a cocktail of heroin and cocaine and which is usually washed down with alcohol followed by a Valium pill. These are readily available, in clubs and bars without much intervention by the police or any authority. Illegal drugs provide a phenomenal source of money for anyone who distributes them and many drug users become dealers on the street of Britain to fund their habit. Of course, such wealth for drug dealers, invariable leads to the financial corruption of people in authority.

## **The End Game for Britain**

Like all empires before it, the British Empire is now a spent force but it remains to be seen if it can accept this and begin to gradually pay off its massive debts and regenerate itself to at least a reasonable financial level, whereby it can maintain itself. It will have to reduce its population, and



restore its morality and discipline, educational standards, healthcare and increase its exports whilst re-developing its infrastructure. Most of all, it will have to establish a new mechanism for government as the existing system is seriously flawed.

Will politicians begin to recognise that the pursuit for economic growth is over and that a reduction in the population is absolutely paramount? Although it goes against the accepted political doctrine of increasing the population to maintain a consumer economy, nevertheless, Britain cannot possibly support a population, especially with education, jobs, social care and healthcare, that will reach around 70 million by 2030 and continue to increase exponentially into the next century. If you also accept, that today, technology is replacing manpower, and by 2100, technology will have rendered millions unemployed, the situation is a desperate one. Hand in hand with a population decrease must be a determined effort to retrain the existing population and to ensure that future generations are educated to a much higher standard than is currently being achieved. The days of dumbing down higher education, the 'bastardisation' of the English language and in its writings, to suit those of a low IQ and turning out those with an 'ology' are over; Britain requires an education system that matches China. In retrospect, if this cannot be achieved, then Britain will revert back to the 1930s, with even lower wages, a much lower standard of living and the British employed by the Chinese to manufacture and produce Chinese goods – in other words, the British will become cheap foreign labour.

One in three children in the world are starving, their growth is stunted and Britain has its fair share with one in five children living in a food insecure home. High living costs, stagnating wages and a welfare system and minimum wage that are woefully below the required average standard of living has led to this outcome. The failed politicians, backed by corporate greed and mendacity have conveniently turned a blind eye to this misery. In an incredible irony, the masses of the population, especially the middle class and the corporate elite are more concerned with the environment, climate change, wildlife protection than the welfare of the starving children in Britain.

Morality, fortitude, integrity and discipline go hand in hand with regenerating the populace of a country and Britain sadly lacks all four. However, if Britain reverts back to a 1930s depression, I suspect all four may be restored fairly quickly. Social care will go straight out the window, healthcare will take a nose dive and the police numbers will be greatly increased along with new powers to maintain civil order. The days of the 'woke' individuals with their self-virtue signalling and the need for constant approval and attention will disappear faster than 'crows on a dyke' when they see a farmer approaching, carrying a shotgun. It will prove an impossible and uphill struggle for any government to realign the morals and discipline of British society under the present conditions. When the television was invented, I am sure the devil was happy because he could take his corruption to a larger and instant audience, but when the internet and smartphones came into being, the devil must have been rubbing his hands with glee.

The amount of public debt is so great that even if the balance of payments in Britain swung to a yearly trade surplus of £40 billion, it would take over 100 years to clear the debt; a difficult task as currently in 2020 the public debt is increased by interest payments of around £49 billion per annum and the personal debt caused by a consumer economy is beginning to peak to unsustainable levels.

Unfortunately, the 'Age of Intellect' has passed in the British Parliament and the very few statesmen that remain, are now ridiculed for their display of knowledge, history, virtue, morality and common sense. Most are hounded out of office by a corrupt media and by infantile journalists, who twist wise words. When today, a new generation of young adults in positions of leadership and in supervisory roles, behave like infantile kids and laugh while Rome burns, you can see that the slide appears irreversible.

It is very difficult to see how a new generation of infantile politicians in the British parliament can turn the tide of corporate feudalism, unsustainable debt, social decline, decadence and a breakdown of law and order. However, it is not impossible and it has been done before; King John in 1215 was forced to sign the 'Magna Carta' which formed a new English charter. It held the king accountable to the rule of law, just like his subjects. In total it was made up of 63 clauses, covering law, liberty and the church. The most famous and important of these clauses enshrined the rights of "free men" to justice and a fair trial. Oliver Cromwell fought and won the English Civil Wars (1642-1651), cut the head of a corrupt English king, cleared out corrupt MPs in parliament and brought the greedy elite to their knees. He brought in a new 'Puritan' age but unfortunately it only lasted a few generations. Cromwell did not have the internet to contend with, and in the 21<sup>st</sup> century, the internet and the media, along with the worst of British society, infect the young in Britain with their greed, mendacity and total lack of integrity and morality.

If Britain keeps increasing its population, and spending more for its imports than it receives from its exports, it will become a 3<sup>rd</sup> world country. Ignorance, poverty and racial inequality are a natural process in an overpopulated society which lacks the finances to maintain and educate itself. The successive governments base the economy on growth and growth figures are the mantra by which everything is judged – whether Britain is economically successful or not. Yearly growth figures invariably mask the stark reality of rising public and personal debt, which few politicians are keen to discuss because it makes their failures appear all that more visible. Millionaire footballers and celebrities along with fat cat bankers, overpaid corporate CEOs and shareholders, along with online trading billionaires are symptomatic of a society that draws the wealth to the few whilst leaving the many in poverty – there has to be a re-alignment. The French and Russian Revolutions spring to mind, when the people rose up against the ruling elite – “let them eat cake” is not an option.

The corporate elites always play the 'socialist card' to frighten the British public from voting for anyone that threatens their ability to generate personal wealth. In simple terms, socialism is a political and economic theory of social organisation which advocates that the means of production, distribution, and exchange should be owned or regulated by the community as a whole. This is promoted by the elite as communism. The reality is that neither a corporate or a socialist government will ever hold true to its integrity and morality, as corruption, mendacity and avarice, with a desire to control, always creeps in. The corporate companies, bankers, trade unions along with their political representatives, the conservative, liberal and labour parties are all in the mix with their own personal agendas to hold onto their positions of power and wealth.

Today, Britain is run by a government elected by the people, for the benefit of the people, but the stark reality: democracy is a form of dictatorship. The actual power behind the government is usually the winning ruling party, an individual, a class and even business corporations and trade unions; the losers are always the people. The political struggle often leads to the rise to power of an instrument of government which represents a minority. Therefore, all existing political regimes in Britain, falsify democracy, and are in fact, dictatorships where the people have no authority. Capitalism remains the 'core' bedrock of a conservative party government whilst socialism is represented by the labour party. 'Ne'er the twain shall meet' is an apt description, as their political views are so polarised. Since 1922, Britain has been on a roller coaster ride; there have been many tight turns, a substantial number of inversions but the only steep slope is the one of rising debt.

The British Parliament, represents not the people, but the party that won the elections. In fact, the people are used by the political forces in the struggle for power. The system of elected parliaments is a demagogic system - a political leader who seeks support by appealing to the desires and prejudices of ordinary people rather than by using rational argument. Votes can be bought and manipulated; therefore, parliamentary representation is a fraud. Political parties are established by groups of people to act in their own interests and to impose their ideology and views on the people. The more numerous the parties are, the more intensive is the power struggle between them, which in turn undermines the programmes geared to benefit the entire society. The interests of society and of its development are sacrificed for the sake of the partisan struggle for power. In addition, parties may be corrupt and can be bribed from the outside and inside. The 'opposition' is not an organ of control over the activities of the people of the ruling party, it only waits for the right moment to take the place of the ruling party at the trough of power. Control is in the hands of the party in power (through Parliament), and power in the hands of the party in control. In the struggle for power, the party is no different from a power struggle between tribes and clans. Both types of struggle are portrayed as having a negative and disintegrating effect on society.

If you reject traditional forms of democracy such as parliament, political parties, referendums, one must question: what do you replace them with? In the years ahead, Britain as a nation will have to overcome all of the above and find a balance which not only accommodates the fortunes of the state, the capitalists but also the social welfare of the people. Russia on the 25<sup>th</sup> June 2020, commenced a referendum vote on constitutional changes which included setting a minimum wage and a pension system that was married to the standard of living in the country. The changes also included that Russian law should be prioritised over international agreements, and Russian land cannot be given away, unlike before, when on the 25th January 1954, the Russian communist party handed over Crimea to Ukraine. Foreign nationals cannot hold positions of power and Russian officials cannot hold foreign citizenship or foreign bank accounts. Marriage will be enshrined (only) as between a man and a woman, according to traditional family values. The fact that power in the Russian Federation is so personified [in the Kremlin] is a problem, so it is proposed that distributing powers among the constitutional authorities will make the political system more stable. Despite the new constitution enabling President Putin to run for office for a fifth time, it is proposed that the transfer of powers between different branches of government means the new amendments will

help decentralise control away from the 'hyper-presidential' system introduced by Boris Yeltsin in 1993.

United Russia is the ruling political party of the Russian Federation and it is the largest party in Russia, and as of 2018 it holds 335 (or 74.44%) of the 450 seats in the State Duma. It usually labels itself centrist and conservationist. The party has most of the seats in the State Duma and in the Regional Parliaments. Because of this, **United Russia** is the most powerful political party in **Russia**. The Russian communist (opposition) party has condemned the proposed constitutional changes by Putin as they see the country slowly moving towards a western system. The western media is already portraying the new constitutional changes in a negative light. On the 1st July 2020, the Russian people voted in favour of the new constitutional amendments by a large majority.

The greatest threat to the re-generation of Britain is its 'vassal' allegiance to the United States of America and Britain is often dubbed 'The 51<sup>st</sup> State'. Since the end of World War II in 1945, Britain has followed America, domestically and in conflicts around the world. A truly independent Britain that can trade with other countries without 'kowtowing' to the political whims of America would do well. America is a busted flush with unsurmountable debt, and its society is split 'far right and far left' - it is unlikely to ever recover; it might even experience civil war? Britain is moving in the same direction and the Brexit referendum brought that home to the British politicians and the general public. America has deliberately created a second 'Cold War' with Russia, China and Britain blindly follows its American masters. If the British politicians do not break the American influence, the corporate businesses of America will infest Britain and the final nail in the coffin of Britain will be hammered home.

Like the end of all empires, the implosion of society and even race and civil wars are normal 'end games' and usually a dictator arises – like General Francisco Franco, Hitler, Stalin or perhaps the worst, Pol Pot. He was a political leader whose communist Khmer Rouge government led Cambodia from 1975 to 1979. He executed the ruling elite, the journalists and the media in their millions and in what became known as 'the killing fields' he took the country back to zero; to start all over again. In later years, it was claimed his actions were classed as genocide. Of course, like all dictators he had his time in power, and today Cambodia is doing rather well.

Don't be fooled, if our failed British politicians and our ruling elite don't get their act together over the next 20 years, we could end up with a 'right wing' Nazi Hitler or a Pol Pot running the country, a one-party communist state governed according to Pol Pot's 'warped' interpretation of Marxism–Leninism. The first ones to be placed against the wall would be the politicians, the bankers, the ruling elite and the media.

## **The World Superpowers**

The word, 'Superpower' is the new word for 'Empire' and today we have 'The United States of America' as the main superpower with Russia and China as rising superpowers. It can also be argued that a close third rising superpower is India. Thucydides, the Athenian general in 400 BC sums up a growing concern in 2020, that there might be a nuclear war, a World War III in the 21<sup>st</sup> century. He

(rightly) concluded that a rising power causes fear in an established power which escalates towards war. Throughout history, his statement has been repeated and defined as 'The Thucydides Trap'. There is absolutely no doubt that America perceives China as a rising superpower which will overhaul them in technology and financial strength in the next 20 years.

Expansion to meet the needs of a growing society could be construed as a catalyst for war or in today's terms, thanks to a shrinking world, 'World Domination'. Sounds like a computer game that James Bond might play. It becomes fairly obvious that these superpowers are seeking to preserve the stability of their expanding societies through a global control of diminishing world resources. The main wars today are financial wars with land military (proxy) wars fought through third parties in their own countries for regime change of leaders which are sympathetic to the 'world domination' ambitions of a superpower. America seems to have taken the main route of proxy war 'regime change' conflict, and the containment of the other growing superpowers with over 800 military bases positioned in different countries around the world. It also has the ability to influence the economies of its affiliates through its influence with the International Monetary Union and/or The World Bank which are both based in Washington D.C. in America.

The U.S. holds a dominant position in the United Nations which was founded after World War II in 1945 to maintain human rights and peace, throughout all the countries of the world. However, its opposition to the United Nations and its predecessor, the League of Nations, has existed from the time of formation. The John Birch Society, an anti-communist group founded in 1958, was opposed to US involvement from the society's beginning. From an early date they had bumper stickers with the slogan "Get the U.S. out of the U.N. and the U.N. out of the U.S.!" These proposals are often motivated by a perceived threat to U.S. sovereignty, or theories that the U.N. is a potential world government.

Alabama congressman Mike Rogers has called for the U.S. to leave the UN. Utah state representative Don Bush, has claimed that many programs by the supranational entity have violated the US Constitution, such as the implementation of the International Court of Justice and the Law of the Sea Treaty, both of which the United States does not currently endorse. The U.S. withdrew from the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) and United Nations Human Rights Council (UNHRC) in October 2017 and June 2018 respectively.

Currently, the U.S. is engaged in attempting the overthrow of the leaders of many sovereign states, including Iran, Venezuela, Syria, Cuba, North Korea, Belarus, Russia and even China. The U.S. president is attempting to crush the governments of those countries through sanctions, embargos and by financially limiting their growth. It's a dangerous game because the U.S. desire for regime change is geared to feed their corporate world ambitions, especially in relation to oil and gas. What makes that approach different today, is that despite it being an undercover 'covert' action by the U.S. for centuries, it is now entirely visible to the rest of the world. The western elite in positions of power, and their media, have decided to ignore, and even cover up, the advance of America's illegal imperialist ambitions, and its attempts to crush and dominate the leaders of other countries, especially those with socialist governments.

Russia on the 25<sup>th</sup> June 2020, commenced a referendum vote on constitutional changes which included setting a minimum wage and a pension system that was married to the standard of living in the country. The changes also included that Russian law should be prioritised over international agreements, and Russian land cannot be given away, unlike before, when on the 25th January 1954, the Russian communist party handed over Crimea to Ukraine. Foreign nationals cannot hold positions of power and Russian officials cannot hold foreign citizenship or foreign bank accounts. Marriage will be enshrined (only) as between a man and a woman, according to traditional family values. The fact that power in the Russian Federation is so personified [in the Kremlin] is a problem, so it is proposed that distributing powers among the constitutional authorities will make the political system more stable. Despite the new constitution enabling President Putin to run for office for a fifth time, it is proposed that the transfer of powers between different branches of government means the new amendments will help decentralise control away from the 'hyper-presidential' system introduced by Boris Yeltsin in 1993. On the 1st July 2020, the Russian people voted in favour of the new constitutional amendments by a large majority.

United Russia is the ruling political party of the Russian Federation and it is the largest party in Russia, and as of 2018 it holds 335 (or 74.44%) of the 450 seats in the State Duma. It usually labels itself centrist and conservationist. The party has most of the seats in the State Duma and in the Regional Parliaments. Because of this, United Russia is the most powerful political party in Russia. The Russian communist (opposition) party has condemned the proposed constitutional changes by Putin as they see the country slowly moving towards a western system. The western media is already portraying the new constitutional changes in a negative light.

China, Russia and India have taken the route of investment in other countries. Of course, in doing so, China, Russia and India have become major shareholders in these countries and also 1<sup>st</sup> claimants to assets, should those countries default on any loans they have received. They are encouraging free trade in the east with the development of trade routes via air, sea and land – a new 'Silk Road'. It's a very dangerous development in world history as it seriously threatens the economic power of America and all of these players have nuclear weapons. However, Russia is perhaps the first superpower to attach its military to a Christian religious cause and they have made it very visible, if anyone cares to look.

Russia has opened a new public building - the Main Cathedral of the Russian Armed Forces, Moscow. It is a grand statement of Vladimir Putin's vision of what is most important in Russia: Russian Orthodox faith, national pride and the armed services. It was consecrated on the 14<sup>th</sup> June 2020 and opened to the public on Monday, June 22nd. The huge cathedral (which can accommodate up to 6,000 people and has six gilded domes) is dedicated to the glory and memory of the armed services, and cost around six billion rubles (\$86 million). Steps are made of steel from captured Nazi tanks. The colour schemes of stained-glass windows replicate those of military decorations. The architecture and interior design (with its extensive use of gilding and iconography) makes the cathedral very clearly Eastern Orthodox, with no concessions to modernist taste. The height of the cross on the main dome is 95 meters above ground level. It is located in a forest on the outskirts of Moscow and contains extensive symmetrical landscape features echoing the traditional layout of a

church. This magnificent building is in a forest outside Moscow and is an unashamed paean to Russian military might.

In the 21<sup>st</sup> century, I have my doubts that 'The Ages of Pioneers and Conquests' can continue to apply unless it relates to space, in the distant future. It has long been calculated that the superpower which gains space superiority will have a major control of governments and countries on earth. Certainly, the United States of America has already formed its 'Space Force' in its race to dominate space and its ambitions to reach out into the universe – possibly the last frontier for pioneers. It remains to be seen if America will establish a military presence in space with nuclear weapons positioned above the earth. Russia fully expects this to happen and has already developed their S-500 which is also known as the Prometheus, a surface-to-air missile/anti-ballistic missile system which can reach a 600 km (370 miles) radius including outer space. The S-500 can shoot down (multiple) incoming hypersonic nuclear missiles that America might develop, either over land or from space.

China has unveiled its own Global Positioning System which is 35 satellites positioned in space, an alternative technology to the U.S. GPS system. This provides China with its own communications, a sound step in the event of global war. The Chinese long-term objectives are to build space stations and even a base on the moon. The last frontier on earth is under the sea and the Nippon Foundation GEBCO Seabed 2030 Project is a collaborative project between the Nippon Foundation of Japan and the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO). It aims to bring together all available bathymetric data to produce the definitive map of the world ocean floor by 2030 and make it available to all. Of course, there is an interest by the Americans, Russians and Chinese in the oil to be found under the South China Seas and the Arctic. Either area could prove to be a catalyst for a large-scale war.

The U.S. 'American Dollar' is the world's reserve currency which the vast majority of countries use to trade in oil and other commodities. Although the dollar is paper money and used in the transactions, its value was backed up by the U.S. gold reserves, which were stored in an army base called Fort Knox in Kentucky, America. On the 15<sup>th</sup> August 1971, the U.S. stopped using their gold reserves (the gold standard) to finance the value of the dollar note. This facilitated their ability to print as many dollar notes as they required to control the power of its world reserve currency status. America now has astronomical debt, the highest in the world. Despite all U.S. efforts, the eastern part of the world is moving away from the American dollar and it is possible that China will become the greatest financial superpower, with the largest gold reserves, and tie their yuan currency to their gold, or announce a digital currency bitcoin matched to their gold.

There is absolutely no doubt that the United States of America is in decline. There is a defined political split in the population as the Democratic party encourages civil unrest in its desire to remove the president, Donald Trump from power. In 2020, there is massive unrest on the streets by left-wing and right-wing activists, who can be seen carrying firearms and the 'Black Lives Matter' activists have acted as a catalyst. Rioting, looting, murder and serious assaults are commonplace with entire sections of cities becoming no-go areas as the police struggle to control them. Defunding the police is the cry from the Democrats, and in many cities the police budget has been reduced.

Anyone, who takes the time to analyse the situation, will recognise that America faces the harsh reality of a second civil war which I suspect will draw in race riots. To add grist to the mill, both the Republican and Democratic parties are controlled by the American military industrial complex who push both parties to rebuild their military capabilities and use the Chinese and Russian military build-ups as the reason to consolidate their power.

I firmly believe the Americans will attempt to drive China towards war, very similar to the American approach with Japan in 1941, when Japanese naval power threatened American dominance. The Chinese are smart and unlike the Japanese, they will not rise to the bait. China holds a large chunk of the U.S. debt at over 1 trillion U.S. dollars. It has been reducing its U.S. debt holding over the last few years and building up a huge stock of gold. The Chinese will first build up (over the next 20 years) a superior Chinese military to the U.S. before finally taking steps to turn the American dollar into 'junk' status. This is likely to bring America to its knees, and create a catalyst for American civil unrest, even a civil war. The U.S. may have to react or find the value of their dollar becoming worthless for world trade, they may be forced take military action against China? Certainly, the anti-Chinese rhetoric from the Americans in 2020 is escalating as they attempt to garner up western support to isolate China. The anti-Chinese rhetoric includes blaming the Chinese for the Covid-19 virus pandemic. The U.S. conveniently forgets that in 1918 the 'Spanish Flu Pandemic' that killed over 17 million people in the world, was first documented in Fort Riley, Haskell County, Kansas as well as in New York City, France, Germany and the United Kingdom. American newspapers were free to report the epidemic's effects in neutral Spain, such as the grave illness of King Alfonso XIII, and these stories created a false impression of Spain as the origin. This gave rise to the name "Spanish" flu. The Americans are also blaming China for stealing U.S. technology whilst conveniently forgetting that over the last 20 years, the American corporations were complicit in handing over the technology to China. The next step in the anti-Chinese escalation - perhaps America terminating diplomatic ties with China?

The threat of conflict between the 'Superpowers' for world domination is very real. However, there are other areas of conflict that are also very real and I submit an even greater threat to world security. That threat exists in the Persian Gulf, Palestine, in Yemen, Syria and in Iraq as the points on the map where a local war could create a catalyst for world war. The religious factions are very real – the Sunni Muslims of Saudi Arabia and their group affiliates, with the aid of America and Britain vs the Shia Muslims of Iran and its group affiliates, including Yemen and Russia. The Israeli Jews, with the aid of America and Britain vs Syria and Iran and their group affiliates, including those in Palestine, Yemen, the Lebanon and Russia. The centres for conflict are most likely to be in the Persian Gulf in the Straits of Hormuz and in Iraq, a country which is moving towards an alliance with Syria and Iran rather than Saudi Arabia and Israel. This is mainly due to the presence of the America military which is still on Iraqi sovereign soil after killing thousands if not millions of Iraqi civilians during the 'illegal' U.S. and British occupation of Iraq.

Interestingly, Turkey, who hovers back and forth between all these factions, has an invested interest in clearing out the Kurdish people from its borders; another potential flashpoint. Kurdish people are an Iranic 'Sunni Muslim' ethnic group, native to a mountainous region of Western Asia known as Kurdistan, which spans south eastern Turkey, north western Iran, northern Iraq, and northern Syria.



The dispute between India and Pakistan over Kashmir, a majority region in the northernmost part of India, was sparked by a fateful decision to partition the two countries in 1947, and has resulted in decades of violence, including two wars. India and Pakistan have been enemies for a very long time and the terrorist attacks in both countries, by both sides, is a serious concern. To compound the problem, India and China are squaring up to each other over their claims to the disputed Himalayan border territory whilst America is promoting Ukraine (a neo-Nazi regime) to create a conflict with Russia over Crimea in the U.S. ongoing efforts to create a regime change in Russia.

The U.S. is now nearing the end of its world naval power and its ability to take its aspirations of global and corporate supremacy to distant foreign countries like Syria and Iran. Countries, like Russia, China and North Korea have anti-ship missiles that can totally destroy a U.S. aircraft carrier in a single strike. However, as of June 2020, Iran now has its own 'home grown' anti-ship missile capability and that is a whole new ball game and a massive threat to the stability of the world and in particular the U.S. naval forces. Soon Iran will have nuclear weapons and the world faces a very real threat if Israel and Iran go to war.

The 'Age of Empires' is over; the world is now faced with the distinct possibility that the 'Superpowers' will engage in all-out war to decide who will control the world for the scant resources they require, and there are unlikely to be any winners.